1 2 3 4	SAUSALITO CITY COUNCIL MEETING TUESDAY, JULY 24, 2007 DRAFT / UNAPPROVED MINUTES
5 6 7	At 5:30 p.m., Mayor Kelly called to order the July 24, 2007 meeting of the City Council of the City of Sausalito in the Council Chambers of the City Hall at 420 Litho Street. He announced that the Council would immediately adjourn to the Conference Room to
8	interview applicants for the Sausalito Waste and Recycling Commission and for the Resident Pedestrian Advocate position on the Bicycle and Pedestrian Task Force. Mayor
10 11 12	Kelly announced that following the interviews, the Council would be going into Closed Session to review Closed Session Items 1 through 4 Mayor Kelly called for public comment on the Closed Session agenda, but there was no public comment.
13 14 15	The Council withdrew to the Conference Room for the interviews.
16 17 18	At 7 p.m., Mayor Kelly reconvened the business portion of the meeting in the Council Chambers.
19 20	ROLL CALL
21 22 23 24	PRESENT: Councilmembers Albritton, Leone and Weiner; Vice Mayor Belser; Mayor Kelly ABSENT: None
25 26	PUBLIC COMMENT ON CLOSED SESSION ITEMS
27 28 29 30	 PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT pursuant to CGC § 54957 Title: City Manager
31 32	2. CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATOR pursuant to CGC § 54956.8
33 34 35	Property: 18 Pearl Street Negotiating Parties: Dana and Kent Whitson City Negotiators: City Attorney
36 37	Under Negotiation: Price and Terms
38 39	3. CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATOR pursuant to CGC § 54956.8
40 41 42	Property: Marinship Park and Martin Luther King (MLK) Park Negotiating Parties: Sausalito Art Festival LLC City Negotiators: City Manager and City Attorney
13	Under Negotiation: Price and Terms

_
1
1
_
′)

4. **CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR** pursuant to CGC § 54957.6

Agency Negotiator: City Manager

Employee Organizations: SEIU 1029, Sausalito Firefighters Association IAFF Local 1775, and unrepresented Employees (Management and Confidential)

Mayor Kelly reported that the Council met from 6:00 to 7:00 p.m. in Closed Session and discussed and gave direction on Items 2, 3 and 4 to the City Attorney and negotiators. Regarding Item 1, he announced with great pleasure that the City Council has signed a contract for the position of City Manager with Adam Politzer.

(There was applause from the audience.)

Mayor Kelly noted the recruitment process has been a laborious process. They had 40 applicants and narrowed that down to eight and then down to three. After extensive interviews, the Council selected Mr. Politzer, who will assume his role as City Manager on September 10, 2007.

City Attorney Mary Wagner noted that the Council voted in Closed Session on the selection of Mr. Politzer; the vote was 5-0 in favor of his selection.

Councilmember Leone thanked Chief Paulin for all his hard work as City Manager Pro Tem from late April until Mr. Politzer arrives.

Mayor Kelly asked for public comment on any Closed Session item, but there was no response.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Councilmember Albritton moved, seconded by Vice Mayor Belser, that the Council approve the agenda as submitted. The motion was approved unanimously without a roll call vote.

1. SPECIAL PRESENTATION

A. Announcement of future special event – Bridgeway Music Festival

Report by Special Events Coordinator Jeanne Friedel

Ms. Friedel said the City has received an application for this high impact special event, the Bridgeway Music Festival (BMF). Staff was recommending that the Council review the application in a public hearing and take public comment and give staff direction.

The BMF representative said they are proposing an event that will be a fund raiser for a non-profit. He is owner of the Record Plant, an icon in the recording industry and in

Sausalito. The non-profit will teach young people the art of recording. They have asked members of the music community that have recorded at the Plant to perform at the concert. The 35th anniversary of the recording studio is in October, 2007; the studio originally opened with a Halloween party attended by John Lennon, Yoko Ono, and others. Since then they have had a tradition of holding a Halloween party, which is the reasoning for the timing of this Festival.

Jackie Thompson said the Festival will fund a Foundation that will allow young people to continue the Plant's tradition of making music and encouraging talent in the Bay Area and in Sausalito. It will also help recreate what has been going on at the Record Plant and in Sausalito for so long.

Mayor Kelly asked what the normal procedure for this application would be.

Scott Paulin, City Manager Pro Tem, said a review team of City staff would review any conditions or restrictions on the approval or denial. Staff wanted Council to be aware of this because it will have a large community impact, and he wanted to give Council and the public an opportunity to ask questions. Staff would start the review on the application the next week.

Organizers said the Festival is planned from noon to 7 p.m. on two days; the third day's event will be held inside the Plant.

Councilmember Albritton said traditionally these applications have gone through the Parks and Recreation Commission, which is a good forum for getting public input.

Mayor Kelly said Council's direction then would be to proceed to review the application with the Parks and Recreation Commission so the applicants can get a decision as soon as possible.

2. PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS

Mark Grace, resident on Spencer Avenue, stated that on August 14, 2007, he and his neighbors were notified by PG&E by form letter that their power would be out for replacement of a power pole. He came home to find that PG&E had installed a new pole eight feet taller than anything installed before, with a transformer the size of a garbage can on top of it. The installation has destroyed his view, as well as that of their neighbors. He has researched how changes such as this can be made, and he was told by the City Engineer that PG&E has to clear any change such as this with the City. This change would have required a permit for a Sausalito resident. After two meetings with PG&E, they realized they were wasting time working within the bureaucracy. They were told that they would need the approval of neighbors up and down Spencer to fix this problem. He has great concern that PG&E was able to make this drastic change without any approval from the City. He has been told by a real estate broker that the installation of the pole has

reduced his property value by \$100,000. He was requesting a formal review of this incident by the City Manager and was asking the Council for help in this matter.

Councilmember Albritton suggested directing staff to write a letter from the Mayor to the government representative at PG&E asking for an explanation.

Yvonne Martinez introduced herself as a labor representative of SEIU Local 1029. The union represents 54,000 members in Northern California alone. The Sausalito union members had expected to settle their labor contract with the City that day. They had given the City a counter proposal at the last meeting. They were disappointed that the City was not ready to settle. It was apparent that the Council's position on issues was not being represented. The person they met with was not aware of the discussions between the Council and the union at the last meeting. The union contract addresses the problems in the City, and it was discouraging that they have not been able to reach an agreement because of lack of communication.

Denis Brown-Bouvier, Permit Technician, said the SEIU employees of the City of Sausalito acknowledge and applaud the Council for its stated goals to attract, develop and retain talented, energetic and visionary staff, and for its efforts to adequately compensate and reward its management employees. The union asks that the City extend the same consideration to the dedicated and hardworking front-line employees. They look forward to working together, and they are and have been invested in accomplishing the City's goals through the management changes and in the future.

John Bush, acting Foreman of the Public Works Department, stated that he was delivering the message that the members of the bargaining team would like to express to the Council their strong desire to meet a fair and equitable settlement in good faith. They came to the Council that night in hopes that the Council would take the leadership role and acknowledge the hard work and services that the union family members contribute to the community. They ask that the Council meet with them in a fair and equitable agreement.

Tony Badger stated that the park on the Arquez property, now the Lemon property, was removed about two months ago. Trees were removed, and the entire landscaping was removed suddenly. The Lemons didn't get permits to take those trees out and have been ordered to replace those trees. The Lemons are now appealing that order. That appeal will come before the Council in September. That park has been used by Sausalito residents for a very long time, and he would recommend a prescriptive easement to keep that park there forever. He believed that not to do so would be setting a precedent for the kind of things that are going to happen in the Marinship. He urged the Council to pay close attention to this issue.

City Attorney Mary Wagner said the hearing Mr. Badger referred to will be before the Trees and Views Committee at their September meeting. It can then be appealed to the Planning Commission.

- 1. Approve master lease agreement by and between the City of Sausalito and Dell Computers to provide City computers as recommended by OMIT committee
- 2. Approve professional services agreement by and between the City of Sausalito and Folger Graphics for preparation of quarterly community magazine
- 3. Approve participation agreement by and between the City of Sausalito and the Amgen Tour of California for the 2008 Stage 1 start: City of Sausalito
- 4. Approve the first amendment to the professional/consulting services agreement by and between the City of Sausalito and Labor Management Success, Inc.
- 5. Adopt resolution approving first amendment to lease agreement by and between the City of Sausalito and Lorna Newlin (MLK) as recommended by the OMIT committee
- 6. Adopt resolution approving first amendment to lease agreement by and between the City of Sausalito and John Scholz (MLK) as recommended by OMIT committee.
- 7. Approve a memorandum of understanding between the City of Sausalito and the Sausalito Police Association as authorized by the City Council for labor negotiations
- 8. Adopt resolution amending Resolution No. 4905 that established the authorized staffing levels and salary range for all permanent and temporary positions for fiscal year 2007-2008 to include the salary range for the administrative aide 1 position in the confidential employee unit and the administrative aide 2 in the SEIU employee group.
- 9. Adopt resolution approving an updated master fee schedule for several fee changes in the Parking Division, administrative department, business license fee schedule, police department, community development department and recreation department.
- 10. Approve staff comments in response to the Fort Baker Transportation DEIS document
- 11. Receive and file final report on the Caledonia Street Fair
- 12. Receive the final accounting report on the 2007 Amgen Tour of California
- 13. Receive update report on the regional housing needs numbers issued by ABAG
- 14. Receive report on the community development department efforts to obtain grant monies for the preparation of the historic design guidelines
 - 15. Review community development department brochures for permit processes
 - 16. Item cancelled, item no. not used
- 17. Approve payment of overtime invoice from the Southern Marin Fire Protection District for the period April to June 2007
- 18. Receive and file minutes of CARB meeting of May 14, 2007
- 41 19. Acknowledge actions taken by Planning Commission at the meeting of July 11, 2007
- 20. Acknowledge actions taken by Planning Commission at the meeting of july 18, 2007

22. Receive and file minutes of the Parks and Recreation Commission meeting of June 20, 2007

Mayor Kelly asked if any member of the public wanted to remove any item from the Consent Calendar, but there was no public response.

Councilmember Albritton said he would call for separate motions on Consent Calendar items 10 and 13 and ask for some additional information, but he was not asking to remove them from the Consent Calendar.

Councilmember Leone asked to remove items 3 and 7 from the Consent Calendar to be heard as part of the Council's regular agenda; secondly, he wanted to pull and continue to the September meeting items 11, 12, and 21, which he felt deserved more public scrutiny than the Consent Calendar would allow. Since they aren't time sensitive, they can be heard at a later date.

Mayor Kelly noted that Item 3 would become 6-G; Item 7 would become 6-H. He asked if there was any objection to continuing items 11, 12, 21 to the September 4th meeting. There was no Council objection.

Councilmember Albritton moved, seconded by Councilmember Belser, that the City Council remove Items 3 and 7 from the Consent Calendar to the business portion of the agenda and to continue Items 11, 12, and 21 to the September 4, 2007 Council meeting. The motion was approved unanimously without a roll call vote.

Councilmember Albritton moved, seconded by Councilmember Leone, to approve Item 10, "Approve staff comments in response to the Fort Baker Transportation DEIS document", which was due on August 13, 2007, with such changes as are approved by the Mayor with comments through the City Attorney regarding those changes. The motion was approved unanimously without a roll call vote.

 Councilmember Albritton moved, seconded by Councilmember Belser, to approve Item 13, 'Receive update report on the regional housing needs numbers issued by ABAG", with the modification of incorporating a comment from the Council that the number is too high and further direct that a letter of protest will be sent. The motion was approved unanimously without a roll call vote.

Councilmember Albritton moved, seconded by Councilmember Belser, to approve
Consent Calendar items 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 22. The motion
was approved unanimously without a roll call vote.

5. PUBLIC HEARINGS - None

6. BUSINESS ITEMS

 A. Receive public input on the Draft License Agreement by and between the City of Sausalito and the Sausalito Art Festival Foundation

Mayor Kelly clarified what was at issue here that evening was a License Agreement for Marinship Park. All the business items are contained in the draft that will be addressed that evening. There are many other issues that are not in the purview of the Council. The Council is asking the public to hold its comments to items in the draft report and items that should be included in the draft report. The Council will not vote on anything that evening, they are simply taking public comment before going back into negotiations with the Art Festival and then they will bring the agreement back to a City Council meeting where a vote will be taken on the agreement.

Councilmember Albritton asked the City Attorney to explain for the public the three documents that go into this Festival, e.g., the business license, the special events permit and how they relate to this license agreement.

City Attorney Mary Wagner said there is the license that is for the use of the real estate, the Marinship Park and the tennis courts, which covers multiple years. There are also provisions regarding business licenses, that is, each participating entity, each artist, anyone who sells anything also obtains a City of Sausalito business license, and the Art Festival Foundation itself is also being required to obtain a Sausalito business license yearly. The City's special event permit process is also involved and it is also a yearly license. The Festival submits an application each year that is reviewed on the staff level and by the Parks and Rec Commission.

Public Comment

Sonja Hansen, resident on Spring Street, stated she was not the only person in the room confused by the following, which she will discuss with no intended meaning to their order:

o What costs does the City incur in hosting the Sausalito Art Festival (SAF) including but not limited to added costs to the police department and public works department?

• What is the business license fee and how much comes from the SAF and how much comes from the artists participating in the SAF?

O What is the special events fee?

o What is the rental fee for Marinship Park and is that fee over and above any costs incurred by the City?

o Will the SAF be required to pay the outstanding sales taxes from 2002 to 2006, approximately \$23,000, before entering into the proposed contract?

o What is the difference between the SAF LLC and the SAF Foundation and why is the licensing contract with the SAF Foundation and not the SAF LLC?

She took offense to the constant reference to the non-profits which gain so much benefit from the SAF, and the inference by extension that they would be harmed if the City demanded higher fees. The City of Sausalito is the largest non-profit involved in these negotiations, and each and every resident is a member of this non-profit. The same cannot be said for any of the other nonprofits.

Jane Woodman, resident Josephine Street, referred to the maintenance agreement for Marinship Park. She didn't see a replacement schedule for fencings, tennis courts, etc. Ever year they get more and more damaged, and they aren't reinstalled the way they were for the citizens of Sausalito. She suggested including not only a maintenance schedule but a replacement schedule including resurfacing of the courts, replacement of the windscreen and the cross bars. She was glad the water fountain is in the new contract; it should have been in the contract all along.

Bob Zadek, resident of the Sausalito Yacht Harbor, stated that for several meetings the agenda has indicated a Closed Session agenda item referring to the real party negotiation with the LLC. What the public was being presented with that evening was a licensing agreement not with the LLC, but with the Foundation. He didn't know the reason for this deception. The Council has not complied with the disclosure requirements. The License Agreement is not a real party transaction. Putting aside the misleading agenda item, the license agreement asks the City to put blind faith for 13 years in an organization the City has no control over. He had no doubt that the present governing body of the Foundation are people of good faith. However, they don't know who will be on the Foundation for the next 13 years. The City is imposing no standards on this Foundation. Six years from now the Foundation could adopt standards that are totally offensive and the City would be powerless to control it. Common sense would dictate the agreement should have extensive performance standards built in. He begged the Council to impose rigid standards on how the Foundation spends and dispenses the money.

Sande McGarry, resident on West Street said that regarding how the Foundation is controlled, the IRS and the Franchise Tax Board dictates how much money the Foundation has to give away every year by mathematical formula. She understood the

comment about 13 years being a long time, but the reality was that the fear Mr. Zadek is imagining doesn't exist; the Foundation's finances are regulated.

Jennie Price, resident on Prospect Avenue, read a letter from Kate Flavin, who was unable to be present that evening:

"I regrettably am unable to attend your session on July 24th so I am using this letter to express my concerns regarding the current negotiations between the City of Sausalito and the Sausalito Art Festival Foundation. We have submitted to you a petition signed by approximately 550 concerned citizens. These residents of Sausalito want transparency with respect to the SAF. They do not mean to disparage the Foundation or its individual board members. But they wonder about the distribution of the large sum of money earned by the SAF. The bare bones financial report isn't sufficient. The Foundation has extended its IRS reporting to August 15, 2007. Should not the Council know why the Foundation could not complete its straightforward tax form in the time allowed? Is there a report in the annual report on its 2006 activities? It may all be perfectly innocent, but the Council should have an understanding of the process." She seconded the comments made previously about the Brown Act. The 13 year term of agreement between the City and the Foundation is too long. Why is the City giving such a long license? She asked the Council to continue any decisions to the Setpember meetings when more of the public can be on hand.

Carolyn Ford spoke on behalf of the 550 residents who signed the petition. The purpose of the petition was to have the SAF open its financial records and adequately compensate the City for the use of its facilities. It is only through the efforts and good will of the citizenry that the SAF resists today. Why is there resistance from the Art Festival? Why won't the SAF provide the financial reports? What is the board afraid of? She knows many of the board members and knows them to be honorable people, but she doesn't understand the secrecy. Why is the Council ignoring the petitioners? The contract signing process can't be rushed. The 550 petitioners are the citizens who elected the Council. To sign a 13-year agreement without it being vetted by the residents is a risk the City should not take. She asked the Council to delay the decision a month, limit the agreement to two years, require the SAF to be transparent in its financial matters. Don't label the petitioners as opponents of the Art Festival; she doesn't oppose the Art Festival, she simply wants what is fair and reasonable for the City as a whole.

Sande McGarry commented to the woman who mentioned the sinister extension of item for filing a Form 990 -- that's standard operating procedure. The reasonable cause is boilerplate language that every extension uses. If the books were open, who of these 550 people would actually know what they were being provided with? Who would understand them? The point is it would be very easy for the Festival once the LLC and the Foundation have filed their tax return to put that tax return in the library and allow anyone to look at it, including any CPAs who could explain the numbers to people who have suspicions.

Kayla Kahn lives on Rodeo. She has been a volunteer at the Art Festival for 47 years. The Festival is wonderful; if there are people that don't like it, don't come. The people in charge are doing a good job. The only thing she wants to see in the new contract is that all the nonprofits are protected. But she doesn't think 13 years is too long.

Lloyd Delvecchio, resident on Olima Street, stated that he is a member of the Star of Sea Catholic Church. The church's food booth at the Festival is the church's largest single fund raiser. As with other nonprofits, everything the church earns is returned to the community. He would be deeply disappointed if the Council were to consider letting third party, outside people run the fair.

Denise Otterson is the author of 10 Favorite Things about Sausalito, one of which was the Art Festival. She has lived in Sausalito for 18 years and ran a gallery in town for six years. She's heard a lot of gossip over the years about the Art Festival. The community has very fine artists and very good people. The emphasis of the Festival should not necessarily be on the party and the music, they should be proud of the artists that are there. She hasn't been really proud of the artists represented there. She wants to be proud of it.

Susan Lemaro, resident on Cazneau Avenue, stated that she works in the food booth run by Christ Church at the Festival. The monies raised are all recycled into the community. The Open Door Ministry depends on the Art Festival for funding. She volunteered every year for the Garbage Committee. She has known the Festival is not a non-profit, but she has been happy to contribute to it.

Pat Zuch said she takes exception to the notion that there are proponents or opponents of the Art Festival; 99.9 percent of those involved wish to see the Art Festival go forward and be successful. The nonprofits should be protected and other safeguards should be written into the contract. It is the 13-year lease that is creating the dissension. Put maintenance and replacement considerations in the contract. Put the guarantees in writing in the contract. It is upsetting that the discussion comes down to repetition of rumor and gossip; this is a business issue.

Sally Calef is president of the Chamber of Commerce. The reason for the 13 year agreement is because the Chamber has a tentative agreement with the Art Festival Foundation where they will be paying the Chamber over 13 years. This is about the future of the Chamber of Commerce, as well as the future of all these non profits. The Chamber is at a critical point in its financial security. The Chamber won't get its payment until the agreement is signed. It is imperative for the City to make a decision that supports its Chamber of Commerce. The Chamber is funded by its memberships and by the revenues from the Art Festival. The City and Chamber had an agreement in place that lasted 10 years in the form of a lease for this property. Please listen to the business community and vote in favor of this lease, without which the local Chamber of Commerce would not survive.

Neil Whitelaw has been the executive director of South San Francisco Chamber of Commerce. All Chambers he knows of support themselves from the membership, he doesn't know why this Chamber is so dependent on a revenue source outside of the business community.

Carol Ann Wentworth introduced herself as the Executive Director of the Chamber of Commerce. Most Chambers are supported by their City's Transient Occupancy Tax (TOT) tax. The Novato Chamber alone gets \$100,000 a year from TOT. Sausalito's Chamber gets zero.

Mike Stone stated he was President of the Foundation and the largest sponsor of the Sausalito Art Festival. He's been actively involved in the Art Festival for the past 20 years and is proud of its achievement. He is most proud of the contribution the Festival makes to the community. Between the Chamber, the Rotary, the City, the Woman's Club, the Bay Model, grants and scholarships, it has produced approximately \$475,000 a year. In the last 10 years of the contract, that's about \$4.7 million that's been brought back into the community by the Art Festival. And that number doesn't include any public relations or restaurant revenue, etc. Any City would love to have the Sausalito Art Festival. He thanked the Chamber and Rotary Club for building the park in 1988 to make the Festival possible, and specifically the Bay Model for its partnership in allowing the Festival to be held on their property at no cost. He thanked the three boards that oversee the Festival, the volunteers and the people who make the Festival possible year after year. These volunteers are givers, not takers; they build up, not tear down. They are the silent majority, not the vocal minority. They are true volunteers who work out of love for the community. The City owes these volunteers thanks. The sad thing with the present controversy is that people's integrity has been questioned and their characters assassinated.

Carolyn Ford said if Mr. Stone can have six minutes, surely she could have a couple more minutes on behalf of 550 people. No one has smeared anyone's reputation in terms of the petition. They simply want the Festival to open its books. The financial reports they are asking for are standard financial reports provided by every corporation. She did not think that the City should be taking the risk of a 13-year contract because the Chamber and the Festival cannot agree on a shorter term contract.

John Farrell, resident on Johnson Street, stated he has tried to step back and ask what is reasonable to ask of the Art Festival, given that it is the most important community event in the City. As such, it is not unreasonable to expect the Festival to open its books; not only must it be operated according to the law, it must be perceived to operate according to the law. It would be reasonable to put people on the board, either elected by citizens or appointed by the counsel, from the community. With regard to the nonprofits dependent on the Festival, is it reasonable that the licensing agreement should include some protections so these nonprofits continue to be allowed to operate? Yes. Is it reasonable to expect the Council to take the time to make sure all the details are addressed? It is not

only reasonable, but also incumbent that the Council take the steps to assure its operation and integrity.

Mayor Kelly said it was his understanding that the Sausalito Art Foundation is the contracting party. It was his understanding that currently the LLC is a two member LLC, one member is the Chamber of Commerce, and the other is the Sausalito Art Festival Foundation. At the time of the transaction, the intent is that the LLC becomes a sole member LLC.

Mayor Kelly said he was just trying to get the facts laid out. The City Attorney has reviewed the draft of the document between the Chamber of Commerce and the Festival Foundation.

Leonard Kaprielian said he was asked in 1991 to work on this Art Festival and he considered it an honor. The Festival is not run by a robot; it's run by citizens who really care and give back. Have the citizens not run this Festival with A-plus caliber? The City should be so proud of what the citizenry has accomplished. The City is the site of the number one Art Festival in the country. Let's get on with it.

Vicki Nichols, resident on Caledonia Street, had some questions on the contract. Ms. Calef's comments helped her understand what was motivating the 13-year contract. She understood that in the previous contract there were some monies to be exchanged between the LLC and the Chamber. Her understanding was that some of this money has not been paid. Her aim was to start with a clean slate, and the Council shouldn't sign the contract unless the payments are made.

Mayor Kelly said there's a default provision in the agreement.

Ms. Nichols asked if the 13-year period is for the License Agreement, or is it buying out the rights of the use of the name? If that's the case, she asked whether the Chamber would no longer be involved at the end of 13 years. And would the name belong to the entity?

City Attorney Wagner said there's an agreement between the Chamber of Commerce and the Sausalito Art Foundation which involves non-tangible, intellectual property, if you will -- copyright, trademark, those sorts of things -- as well as control of the LLC. That's the 13-year payment obligation that the Chamber officials were referring to. The City isn't receiving that payment from the Foundation; it goes directly to the Chamber and it's a subject of negotiation between the Chamber and the Foundation, but it ties into the term of the City's license agreement for the use of the property. If there is a breach of the Chamber agreement, it is a default also of the license agreement.

Ms. Nichols asked if the enforcement then is up to the Chamber to go after their money if there is a default. Ms. Wagner said that was correct.

Mayor Kelly said relative to the amounts owed, they've looked over about five years worth of monies that were carried along; some were sales tax receipts that the City couldn't collect because they didn't have enough data to collect them. The agreement being considered is not with the Art Foundation; it is with the Chamber of Commerce. The Chamber of Commerce owed the City this money from revenues from the Festival, but when they tried to trace back the source of these amounts, they simply didn't have the records. There were also obligations on the part of the City to perform certain things and use the money given to the City for specific purposes, and the best records available show that the City did not honor that obligation. So when they looked at the amounts, which added up to \$25,000 or \$30,000 over a five year period, the City could not find a good and adequate trail for that money and for the uses of the funds that the City had had. It's been the City's best judgment that they have to let that go.

Councilmember Leone said he was not sure if that was an accurate characterization. There are two issues: one is a statute of limitation clause on the collection of sales taxes.

Mayor Kelly said those sales tax obligations are obligations of the individual artists.

Councilmember Leone said it's a make-good component in the existing contract between the Chamber and the City of Sausalito.

Further public comment

Bill Patterson said upon moving to the City more than 30 years ago, he was warned to be aware of "againster-itis" rampant in the City. Periodic epidemics of againster-itis have cost the City uncounted hours and dollars. He read a humorous commentary on that phenomenon.

Linda Pfeifer, resident on South Street, said that speaking for herself, she was not "against" the Art Festival. She is for transparency, she is for protecting the interests of the nonprofits, she is for making sure that a Festival that has been built on the backs of volunteers and many others, has some form of representation for a Festival that is billed and positioned as a nonprofit event. She is for having another opportunity for the public to view the contract that was just released last week. This is vacation time and people need to look at this. She is for a City Council-appointed commission to help review the grant process. She is for limiting the contract to three years on a pilot basis. And she is for ensuring and protecting the interests of the nonprofit, and the inclusion of standards such as allowing only nonprofits from Sausalito to sell food. In 1991 a booth fee was \$200, this year, it's \$1,000. She'd like to see standards in the contract to protect local nonprofits.

Mary Ann Griller stated that she is the Chairperson of the Art Festival food booths. She has been working with the Festival for eight years and has brought the food booths up to a quality not matched anywhere else in the U.S. There is no food sold at the Art Festival except by a non-profit group. The beverages are sold by the Art Festival. The only food

sold is by a non-profit. The County of Marin uses the Festival as a model for the way food booths should be done. The City should be proud of the reputation it has locally, statewide and nationwide.

Bob Zadek noted the country would not be here without a vocal minority. He was proud to be part of a vocal minority. The Art Festival is a fabulously run enterprise, wolunteers and management both do a fabulous job. No one is questioning that. This is not about anybody being under attack. This is about the process. The local nonprofits, including the churches, are dependent on the Festival. All he wants is the City to acknowledge how important it is and have the City put performance standards in the contract as a guarantee over 13 years, that all the food booths go to nonprofits. He's confused about the contradiction of a 13-year license with the provision the Festival must come back each year for a special events permit. What has happened is the Art Festival submitted the license and the City marked it up.

Mayor Kelly said that was not correct.

Mr. Zadek said if the license is the work product of the City, it should have a pro-City bias. Instead the license is full of concessions that have already been made.

Denise Otterman said she has worked at the food booths for the Sausalito Presbyterian Church, and the year they went from chips and cheese to hamburgers was a very big deal because they made so such money. She says there has been a history of non-profits not from Sausalito being allowed to work the Festival. She was asking for transparency, transparency, transparency.

Mike Stone said this is the 55th year of the Art Festival, and for 55 years they've worked very hard to keep it a local event. In his lifetime, he doesn't see the Festival having food booths out of the City of Sausalito. They never thought they would do that, they don't want to do that. It's not even an issue. To clarify the LLC, past Chamber presidents were put in charge of putting on the Festival and they said they wanted the money to go into other organizations instead of all going into the Chamber, so they went to an attorney and the determination was that they had to form an LLC. Now, the Foundation is getting called the bad guys, like they wanted it to be that way. So in the agreement between the Chamber and the Foundation is a four-page non-disclosure statement and as being caretakers of the event, the Chamber abided by the agreement, so if people want to think the Chamber held back information, it was because they were under an agreement regarding intellectual property that was the Chamber's lifeblood. As far as transparency of the financial records, in his view, of all the major Art Festivals, they provide more financial records than any other Festival that he's aware of. Maybe they could be doing a better job but he thinks they've gone overboard, they've used graphics in the annual report to try to demonstrate the finances. That's been done for the last five years. They've also had three boards oversee this. It's the job of the board to make sure things are in order. They're going to try to get more information out to the community and make more

people feel part of it. Everybody is here because they love the community and some of us agree to disagree.

Councilmember Leone said given that Mr. Stone has already placed a financial value between the two entities on the Art Festival intellectual property by the nature of the agreement, would there be any harm in publishing the past financial reports for the LLC at this point in time to allay people's fears, whether those fears are justifiable or not?

Mr. Stone said people feel that information is already available in the financial reports; that's a decision he can't make on the spot. When you have 14 people on a Foundation board and you have six or seven or eight people on the LLC board and you have 15 or 17 people on a Chamber board and that rotates, you have all those people that it's their responsibility to do that CL is saying to do, and it's a little bit of a slap in the face to say, hey, you guys didn't do it or you're hiding something or we don't believe you, that's how it's going to be perceived.

Councilmember Leone said his response to that is he knows a lot of the people involved and he respects the ones he knows, but he sits in a position where he gets questioned every day and he can't take it as a personal offence because it's part of an open public response, and that's the difference. He knows everyone involved has invested an incredible amount of time and they should be proud of what they have accomplished, but at the same time, he's just trying to find a compromise that will lead to healing the community and lead to the event going forward in a very positive fashion.

Linda Pfeifer said that regarding providing reports, reports are meaningless without a remedy. If the community is just getting a report with information then there are no means of rectifying the information; that's why they need a contract that has standards. The current contract does not have standards that have protections, e.g., protecting the requirement for local food booths, etc.

John Ferrell said that the people who have been the core members in promoting the Festival have done a darn good job and are owed a lot of thanks. At the same time the success of the Festival does rely on the thousands of volunteers as well and those volunteers deserve to know what is going on with regard to the finances. And as long as the LLC exists, that is causing the suspicions, and the sooner that is gotten rid of the better. And why should the City and the Chamber be held hostage to the LLC in a 13-year agreement? That's where the transparency is needed.

Sharon Fiddler, resident on Olima Street, stated that when she came to Sausalito, the Art Festival was in the playground and the only attraction was Sally Stanford.

John Diamant, resident on Wray Avenue, guessed these are growing pains. He thanked the Chamber, the Council, and the Foundation for this wonderful thing they do in Sausalito. He was very leery when he hears New York accents, unless that voice has done five or six years in the trenches.

Steve Bogel, retired Fire Chief of the City of Sausalito, said he retired in 1995. The City used to use \$10,000 and \$12,000 a year running the Art Festival. The City was happy when the Chamber took it over, and it's been a great success ever since.

Council Discussion

Councilmember Albritton said the point of the meeting that evening was to take public comment. The Council will be considering all the public comment in its discussions. He encourages everyone to read the document; many of the comments he heard that evening are addressed in the document. The Council's goal was to keep the Art Festival in Sausalito, to keep it successful, to keep the community happy with it, and to keep the Festival transparent. He had the pleasure of negotiating the first 10-year Festival contract; in that contract the ice breaker was to allocate a certain amount of money starting out at \$30,000 and then increasing to \$40,000, towards the creation of the Sally Shuttle. Then they hit the dot.com bust and 9/11 and they decided the amount of money the City was putting in the program wasn't justified compared to laying off the City's police officers. There is an amount of money that technically is due from the Art Festival, it's about \$20,000 in total due, but at the same time the City didn't keep up its end of the bargain and didn't put the money into Sally Shuttle, in fact the City took the money that it did get and put it into the General Fund and helped pay for some of those police and fire salaries, so there's unclean hands on both sides of that transaction.

They did try and come up with some ideas to make everybody happy but they are where they are and that particular amount of money is not on the table although there were significant funds that were contributed by the Art Festival and to the General Fund over the last 10 years. Because of the negotiation over the past year there's another project included in this license agreement— and yes, a license is a real estate document, it's not a lease because a lease is for exclusive premises, and the City's not giving them the Marinship Park for the entire year, it's only for a few days of the year. This is a document that the City hopes is not as tough and difficult as a first proposal could be but they are hoping it's something that agreement can be reached on quickly, that can satisfy the concerns expressed and can also be acceptable to the arts Festival. That's the Council's goal and he hopes the community recognizes the Council is doing the best job it can to represents the interests of its constituents while maintaining this fabulous Festival. He's open to answer any questions about the document.

If anyone had specific comments, he suggested that they email them to the City Attorney, Mary Wagner. The document does call for the delivery of audited financial documents, it does include an out in the event that there is a problem or defaults; it includes cross default clauses in the event that the Chamber agreement should fall apart. He thanked everyone for their comments, and noted that while there will be more discussion at the next meeting, the Council hopes to wrap up the agreement at the September meeting.

Councilmember Belser said she appreciated the public comment. The public can rest assured the Council will be paying attention to their comments as they eview the contract.

Councilmember Weiner said he has been president of the Chamber of Commerce, on the Art Festival Foundation board and on the LLC board. He is back to being a volunteer now. Thirty percent of the area used by the Art Festival is Marinship Park, and the rest is owned by the Army Corps of Engineers. He has always done things that he thinks are best for the community, and he will continue to do that. It's time the City paused and started to appreciate its volunteers and this is one time the City should appreciate all its volunteers and all the things they do in this community.

Councilmember Leone said in emotional and unfortunate situations like this, he likes to rely on two things: facts and openness. A core group of people have worked very hard to turn a small struggling fair into an award winning event. The Festival draws significant attention to the community and provides significant support to the community's nonprofits. The Festival Foundation gave out \$45,000 in grants in addition to the monies raised by the nonprofits at the Festival, based on its 2005 tax returns. According to correspondence from the Festival Foundation and the Chamber, the Festival generates about \$400,000 in proceeds for the nonprofits and the Festival itself. The City generated about \$32,000 over the last five years, each year, through business licenses, sales tax and parking revenues; \$21,000 was paid by the artists, \$3,500 was paid by the Festival and \$7,000 by parking fees.

Councilmember Albritton noted the Festival also reimburses the City for police services.

Councilmember Leone said that was correct, although Public Works is not directly reimbursed. The City builds strong community support as volunteers and business owners work alongside one another. However, he takes the petitioners' concerns seriously. There are two sides to this issue. It is a core value issue for this town. You've got on the one hand an organization that does great good things; on the other thing is a concern that the organization is not transparent. It's not about money; it's not about the City trying to gouge the Art Festival for money. And it shouldn't be about money. He doesn't foresee putting this agreement out for bid. The contract needs to be arms length and flexible for both sides. The flexibility is the ability for the City and the Council to essentially press the button and eject the Art Festival. He doesn't see himself doing that and he doesn't think the City should ever get to that situation. His thoughts are that a flexible contract serves everyone best; he has suggested a five-year contract. As far as past monies owed, he's willing to let that go as there were mistakes on both sides. He tries to be upfront with everybody, what he wants is to craft is an agreement they can all live with and be proud of. They have put things in the contract that provide flexibility and safeguards. His concern about 13 years is that there may be a time that they can't foresee now that the City will have an opportunity to do something wonderful with that land, and some of them might even be accomplished by the Art Festival itself. He doesn't believe in this "us and them" concept.

Mayor Kelly said he has friends on both sides of this issue, and he agrees and disagrees with people on both sides of the issue. The City of Sausalito has an obligation to its citizens to make sure that wise things are done with the public property in its trust; the Council is trying to be fair and think about what's in the best interest of Sausalito in the long term. There is some fine tuning that needs to be done in this agreement; part of the fine tuning is not in the agreement but is to be found in the cooperation of the people involved.

a. Reports on business advisory committee recommendations for implementation of the business vision process results regarding: maritime support; business mix; cultural heritage and telecommunications

Maritime Report by Vicki Nichols

Ms. Nichols recapped the copy and text of the report given May 12, which is also on the City's website. The subcommittee identified five major areas to enhance maritime activities. By priority they are:

- Develop and support an active and vital harbor.
- Support of active waterfront for marine workers and artists
- Preservation and communication of local maritime heritage
- Promotion and enjoyment of waterfront for residents and visitors
- Provision of affordable housing for marine workers and artists

The goals are explained in the report. Some methods for reaching the goals include:

Generate boat activity by promoting local marine service businesses and other retail businesses such provisioning, moorings; provide water visitor access for expanded use by intra-Bay visitors; enhance fishing and herring businesses of Richardson's Bay; exercise opportunity to continue boat building heritage; establish such use as established in Marinship Specific Plan.

Housing is the only one of the goals not called out in the MSP, but it's a very big topic that's been talked about in the community for 20 years.

Other methods discussed included:

Discussion with other transportation agencies, including: water taxis, most of this work will be done in the buses, boats and piers, groups; accommodate plan to bring visitors into Sausalito; create a maritime business organization (Waterfront Chamber of Commerce); build a critical mass of uses and activities to enhance the vitality of the area which in turn will increase the tax base for Sausalito; continue to support mixed use of marine workers and artists called out and endorsed by the MSP; enforcement of the MSP to provide for the priority of the marine uses within the Marinship District; historical

inventory of waterfront; enhance the asset of waterfront for residents and visitors, public pathway is a good idea but should be done in a balance between public access and safety issues; regarding housing, it would be desirable that some housing be considered in the mix of development for marine workers and artists so they can stay in the area which would involve discussions with private property owners; the suggestion is that such housing be managed by a nonprofit to ensure housing is provided without fear of gentrification.

2 3

Councilmember Leone asked what's the easiest thing to do, what's the immediate action item?

Ms. Nichols said the fishing, the signage for water access maps, the inventory can start. Is there anything the Council wants in particular from that inventory?

Councilmember Leone said there are suggestions in the Business Advisory Committee (BAC) report that aren't in the maritime committee, including the update of the Marinship Specific Plan.

Ms. Nichols said they haven't had joint meetings yet. The items Councilmember Leone is referring to are, in her opinion, separate issues, and they can certainly have more meetings. The first step was to allay fears that the process was about just zoning change; she believes that is a very separate issue.

Councilmember Albritton thanked Ms. Nichols for her summary of months of really fascinating meetings. He was inspired by the ideas coming out of the group.

Mayor Kelly said the Council will hear all the reports and then take public comment.

Business Mix Subcommittee Report by Colleen Palmer

Colleen Palmer was a co-facilitator for the Business Mix Subcommittee. It was a large group comprised of committed stakeholders in the committee. They arrived at a consensus for five broad goals:

• Develop codes for the City that encourage good development practices, enables smart growth, promotes a sustainable urban pattern while protecting landscape that is considered ecologically and culturally valuable, and maintains elements that keep it true to the City's character

• Create a community focal point downtown that both residents and visitors would use and in which they all feel welcome

• Develop the Marinship area to create a mixed use neighborhood with a maritime flavor in which residents can afford to live and work

• Develop a cohesive marketing, branding campaign for Sausalito that will attract business development and be utilized in the development of fund raising campaigns to create viable venues as the community focal point.

These are broad goals, and they are broad because of what she would characterize as the volatile lack of consensus in the group, on top of many points of agreement that were obscured by the lack of consensus. At the June 9 public meeting, they discussed the strategy of working on the two items that are actually in the way of the goals, and those are one, the chronic financial struggles of the City and two, the lack of consensus. In a sense they have opened up a can of worms and there's a lot more work to be done. They're saying let's keep going by setting up two action committees, an economic committee and a Marinship committee. They are recommending that the Council appoint members to the economic committee with the directive to research, interview and narrow down appropriate consultants who specialize in urban economics and present these candidates to the Council. Regarding the Marinship action committee, they are recommending that members from the business mix group and the maritime groups continue forward together under very close partnership supervision from the Council.

Their first directive would to be to develop and execute a form to illuminate those areas of existing agreement and to specifically and clearly state those areas that lack consensus. The second directive would be to develop and execute a plan that would allow those places where there is a lack of consensus to be bridged.

Councilmember Leone asked what's the easiest thing to accomplish out of the recommendations from the committee? The Marinship issue is not an easy resolution.

Ms. Palmer said if the City doesn't do that, where are they going to go?

Councilmember Leone asked if she is a business owner or resident.

Ms. Palmer said she is a resident.

Councilmember Albritton thanked Ms. Palmer.

Cultural Heritage Report

 No one from the subcommittee was present to give the report, but Councilmember Albritton noted the goal as stated in the report on the City's web site is: Take advantage of, explore and understand, and broadcast the cultural heritage in a way to benefit the City.

Mr. Hodgson noted the staff report lists a number of determinations made by the Telecommunications Subcommittee. The report has been modified to fit the template, and that revised report has a little more information. The staff report refers to the committee as an action group; however, the committee is recommending that the group be designated an official City board or commission. There is also discussion of a WI-FI hot spot at the Ferry Landing, putting an outside antenna in perhaps the kiosk to extend the radius. The Council has the committee's determinations and recommendations in writing and he can answer any questions.

Councilmember Leone asked what is the easiest to accomplish of the committee's recommendations.

Mr. Hodgson said to put together a permanent City group to help feed information to the Council, as necessary, along the same line as other boards such as the Waste Management group or the Disaster Preparedness committee.

Councilmember Leone agreed that's a good thing.

Councilmember Albritton said the most important goal is the inventory of where there's fiber in town and some of that is already done because of this group's work.

Mr. Hodgson agreed the inventory is very important. The other important goal is to find out what the business community needs so the board can work on ideas on how to create the needed infrastructure.

Ms. Palmer said she is concerned that Councilmember Leone is asking what's the "easiest thing."

Mayor Kelly said he thinks what Councilmember Leone is asking is what's doable, what can be done in the moment to get some impetus going. Taking a baby step or two and getting some successes will build on itself.

Councilmember Albritton noted there will be follow up that's valuable as well.

Ms. Palmer said her concern is they'll be looking for something that is easy rather than something that is doable and difficult but that could be a tipping point for the community. She wants to make sure that the Council is not just looking for what's easy but is looking for what's doable even though it might be difficult.

Councilmember Leone explained the worst thing that can come from this process is nothing. So his point is not to ignore things that are difficult or things that will take a long time to accomplish, or some things are technically impossible at this point, his point is to try and get some things done so that people who have invested a lot of their time and

energy and want to see things come out of this process don't lose heart in the whole process.

Summary of Subcommittee Reports by Business Advisory Committee member Adam Krivatsy

 Adam Krivatsy said he was very excited about what came out of the process. When the committee reviewed the reports of the subcommittees they realized with excitement what an awakening process this is, not just for business aspects, but for quality of life aspects for the future as well. The action committees were set up by the BAC after the public comment taken at the June 9 meeting. He asked the Council to consider appointing or authorizing the appointment of action committees (with the exception of the action committee that he understands from the report that evening wants to be a board.). The action committees will be:

• Transportation committee, which would incorporate the bike and pedestrian group

• Waterfront and Marinship Committee, which will also address the waterfront path with the Transportation Committee, so there will be some overlap between the goals and actins of the two committees.

• Telecommunications Committee (possibly a board)

• Harbor and Downtown Committee, which will also be addressing Caledonia Street as part of Downtown, and visitor and resident aspects of Sausalito.

• Historical and Cultural committee, which will coordinate with the various historical organizations of Sausalito.

• Finance and Economic Committee - assumed by Business Advisory Committee (BAC).

The process would involve an authorization from the City Council to the BAC to establish these committees by naming chairmen and vice chairmen for these committee who will then proceed and assemble groups, flesh out recommendations and focus on action oriented items. There is tremendous difference in the committee's findings and recommendations as to what is simple and what is complex. It is easy to say 300 feet of the waterfront needs to be repaved or a stair needs a new railing, but it's much more complex to talk about a system of wireless communication or introducing housing to the Sausalito waterfront where such uses may not be permitted by current zoning. The BAC envisions that some committees will come up with very specific projects, other committees, e.g., Marinship group, will invite staff input and broad dialogue to resolve the various opposing points of views. Such a dialogue, the BAC believes, is very healthy. The BAC suggests it will serve as the Economic Action Committee. The BAC asks the Council to authorize the BAC to act as a steering committee for the other committees and

to regular report to the Council on accomplishments and possible need for direction. Possible funding for the groups is recommended at \$1,000 to pay basic supplies, to obtain photographs or maps or a one-time consultant. The use of staff support would be determined by the Council. Staff has been very helpful thus far and the committees hope to continue receiving such support, while they would not want to infringe on the staff's time unnecessarily. The hope of the BAC is that as this process proceeds they will be able to report specific accomplishments; there is already some funding available for non-motorized transportation and that could be turned into projects, some of which would require planning and some of which would require construction. It's a very broad perspective, but exciting to each subcommittee to see ways to improve the community both as to financial, infrastructure and quality of life issues.

Councilmember Albritton said in trying to think of a way to honor the work that has been done by everyone involved, they discussed the alternatives of formal boards versus volunteer groups; the suggestion was they blend the two types of organizations and that the Council would appoint a chair and co-chair to each of these subcommittees and those chairs would report to the Council and the chairs would be working to empower people to participate. Some of the committees may come back with requests for a formal board.

Councilmember Albritton gave a recap on the committees:

The Transportation Committee (with crossover from the Bicycle/Pedestrian and Waterfront Path subcommittees), two chairs and a small budget; the transportation committee could become a future permanent board, but initially the Council would want this group to follow up on some of the goals that were established and maybe come back with a recommendation within three months that there be a permanent board. He would expect the transportation committee to be staffed initially by the City Engineer, but subsequently by the City's transportation manager, who will be hired soon.

Similarly, the Waterfront and Marinship committee will hopefully be staffed by someone from Community Development and they have would have a small budget. They would have a difficult initial discussion to go through about how to promote those goals that were cited earlier by those two groups.

Telecommunications, he would expect to have a small budget and be staffed by Rhett. It's possible that could be a formal committee; if that's the case the Council should appoint the two chairs, and let them, with the group, recommend who should be on the board, what the responsibilities would be, how long the terms would be, how often they would meet and that sort of thing. In addition, they could work on the inventory the City needs right away because of PG&E.

The Harbor and Downtown Committee has very specific goals. They would also take on Caledonia Street. There's the ship group to work with as well as downtown planning, and that would mean ultimately if the City Engineer moved off of Transportation, he could staff this committee, with a small budget.

The Historic and Cultural Committee will develop a concept of how the history and culture should be focused on, catalogued and promoted; he would suggest the City's librarian serve as the staff member on that committee.

The BAC will discuss further their potential recommendation to the City to hire an economic consultant.

Councilmember Albritton suggested hearing from the committees in another two or three months on how they plan to accomplish these objectives.

Continued Public Comment

Peter Van Meter said the plan outlined by Councilmember Albritton and Mr. Krivatsy sounds good. A model for that may be what happened with the public safety building committees. He suggested the chair of the various subcommittees also serve on the steering committee. The committees need to be defined very precisely both with regards to geographical and topical areas of interest so they don't do duplicate work.

Tony Badger asked Council why there is always so much focus on increasing business. Is business always better? Does this benefit citizens or is it detrimental to its citizens? Downtown Bridgeway has been lost to Sausalito citizens inch by inch. The idea of bringing community life back to downtown is an excellent idea.

Michael Rex, local architect, praised the process so far. What they've done so far has been brainstorming; it would be helpful if those ideas were collected and bound to mark a moment in time. He endorses the idea of the action groups whole heartedly; the next step is to take the brainstorming and roll it into public policy that can be implemented. He's glad to see a relook at the Marinship Specific Plan is one of the considerations. The City needs to find a way to work with the property owners in the Marinship or the City's going to end up with a lot of mini storage. There are answers available and he's confident the action committee can find them.

On telecommunications, he can see why the City would need a permanent board. If the City wants to bring in high technology businesses, the communications have to be there.

The initial budget of \$1,000 won't go very far; how about \$5,000. Maybe one of the first things the committee should do is establish a budget; some will need more than others.

The one thing he really disagrees with is the BAC suggestion on how these groups are formed. There's a concern in the community that the BAC doesn't represent a broad enough segment of the community to have the power to set up all the committees. Furthermore, he thinks if the Council appoints the chair and vice chair the committees will have a lot more credibility. The BAC can recommend back to the Council on

45 membership, but the Council should make the final decisions.

There needs to be a real time table. Four to six months is probably realistic. Set a due date.

State a public objective. "Why are we doing this?" What are the objectives for setting up these programs. He would suggest the objective be to turn the selected programs into public policy and to pursue implementation. The community needs to know the Council is serious about this.

On the idea of low hanging fruit, one that the transportation committee identified right up front is that they should seek to implement short term solutions that can be accomplished now while at the same time planning more complex, long term solutions. So do both at the same time.

Tony Badger said he was on the marine advisory committee. The largest piece of under utilized land in the Marinship is the Lemon property. It probably has the most potential of any piece of land in the Marinship. He would suggest that for this one large piece of land whose future has yet to be determined, that the City put together a specific advisory committee to work with the Lemons to figure out how to develop this piece of land and do something really nice. This is something that could be done right now; it doesn't cost any money. He thinks a lot of people would be interested in devoting their time and kicking around the possibilities.

Roberta Malloy, resident on Spring Street, asked the Council to consider the ability of a lot of people to know what's going on and to have a forum to communicate. She asked the Council to consider another "In the Loop" related specifically to these committees; also for other uses, such as a way for nonprofits to notify people of a benefit.

Carol Peltz, resident on Crescienta Lane, said it is very important that this process be as public as possible. She would agree that committee chairs should be appointed by the Council; committee members should be those who volunteer and who want to work. Noticing of meetings is very, very important. The meeting dates should be in the paper, the place should be noted, they should be timed so the most people can attend (after work, etc.) and should be held on a regular schedule. The tone was set with the public meetings at MLK and that's the tone that should continue. Also using an "In the Loop" system to communicate information about this would be great.

Joe Lemon thanked the Council for sponsoring this process; it's been an excellent one; he thanked all the people who participated. There's been a lot of enthusiasm and he doesn't want to see that die. The challenge is to say what can we do to make things better, not to just keep the status quo. He thanked Mr. Badger for his comment because the Lemons are really desirous to have something in Sausalito that is successful for the whole City; they welcome people's visions and comments; please come visit and exchange ideas. At the end of the day it is the Councilmembers who will decide what will be best

there and he hopes the Council will be thinking about what its vision is and be active in the process.

Council Discussion

Councilmember Albritton noted that there is a document, the "Imagine Sausalito" document, on the City's website that includes all the individual committee reports.

He agreed with increasing the budget for these committees. The City has a budget of \$10,000 to work with. When he read the staff report he was surprised that the report said the chairs would be appointed by the BAC, because he thinks absolutely they should be appointed by the Council, although time constraints would mean that the Council would not be able to individually interview each applicant so another process would have to be determined for recommendations for appointment. The whole intent of this process has been bottoms up and to include the community, and he agrees with Ms. Pelts' comments in that respect. He asked that the Council endorse the BAC recommendation with the caveat that any chair or vice chair be appointed by the Council and direct the BAC to come back to the Council with the committees and recommendations for chairs and vice chairs, and a timeline for accomplishing some of these goals. But generally, the intention is to empower these communities with the reports that came from the "hubs," not the lists in the staff report, those lists are not limiting, they are just meant to highlight. There is some cross over, e.g., the waterfront path committee would be made up of members of the transportation committee and the waterfront Marinship committee.

Councilmember Leone agreed with Councilmember Albritton's comments about how the committee should be formed, that is that the Council appoint the chair and vice chairs of the committees and the process should be more representative of the public safety buildings process with the Council appointing a steering committee which in turn can solicit membership. Some of the goals mentioned that evening are well outside the authority of the BAC or the subcommittees, including a review of the Marinship Specific Plan. That review is also something the Council took off its list of priorities of things to do during this year's Council session. That review has to be a totally public process entirely separate from the Business Visioning process.

Also, regarding the idea of having the BAC examining the City finances and making suggestions on how to improve the City's finances, that's the Council's job. That's Adam Politzer's job; that's Louise Ho's job.

Councilmember Albritton said Adam Politzer sits on the BAC.

Councilmember Leone said maybe Mr. Politzer will replace the assistant to the City Manager as the staff member on the BAC. But the finances of the City are the charge of the Council; the business community is not charged with overseeing the finances of the City. The way this committee was set up in 1992 was to add the economic element to the General Plan and in 1994, Councilmember Belser and other folks set it up as a way to

exchange information and ideas and offer recommendations and solutions to business issues to the City Council from time to time. Since that time this entity has taken on a life of its own which is much more powerful than anyone could have envisioned, and that is where this process is disconnected. A waterfront path is incredibly tangential to the business community. It's a good thing for the town, in theory, but it's not a business issue. There's a waterfront path that exists from Second Street all the way to the Arquez property. So to have this become a business issue is a little bit of a tweak; it's great to solicit opinions from the public, but zoning and land use issues do not fall within the purview of this process.

Council member Albritton said the process has gone on a long time and it started with the Council speaking with business leaders in the community in small groups where the Council asked what is needed in this community to enhance business vitality, and one of the things they said was a waterfront path. And one of the things they said was telecommunications infrastructure. These were things the businesses felt would bring tenants for office buildings, visitors, and other things that would enhance the business vitality. The Council then asked the community, what are things the public thought would enhance the quality of life, and residents said, a waterfront path. The same thing. And what the Council looked for were those things where business and residents agreed.

Councilmember Leone said his point is not that these are not valid issues, in general. But his point is that the process and how these decisions are being made have to be clear and public and not necessarily part of the business process.

Councilmember Albritton said all this group can do is recommend policy, the policy is made by the Council.

Mayor Kelly said he can find an economic nexus with all these things.

Councilmember Leone asked does that mean the BAC should review the zoning ordinance of Sausalito?

Mayor Kelly said no.

Councilmember Leone said how then is that different from having the BAC review a Specific Plan of the zoning ordinance.

Mayor Kelly said there are some things that should be removed but he'd like to leave that up to the chairs of the committees and have them come back and the Council will participate in fine tuning it. Clearly, it is not the Council's intent to set up a Marinship Specific Plan review by this committee.

Councilmember Leone said that's one of the stated ...

Mayor Kelly said he understands, but there are a lot of those that are going to go away.

The MSP review is a whole different process that's going to be done in a different way when and if it ever gets done. As Councilmember Leone pointed out, it's not on the Council's agenda for this year.

Mayor Kelly said he's comfortable with setting up the committees, having the BAC recommend appointments for chairs to the Council for the Council's approval or disapproval. He doesn't want the BAC to become the steering committee, he wants the five chairmen to become the steering committee, as in the public facilities buildings process. There are things in the subcommittee reports which will be great to see the groups accomplish; there are things which clearly are not in the groups' purview.

Councilmember Leone said one of the goals of the project is to create realistic expectations for people. And redoing the zoning ordinance so that it's more economically friendly is a long term goal which will need outside consultants, which the City doesn't have the money to do right now.

Mayor Kelly said he agrees.

20 Councilmember Belser said she moved Councilmember Albritton's recommendation.

Councilmember Leone clarified his understanding of the recommendation: The formation of the committees and the governing process, as stated by Mayor Kelly.

Mayor Kelly said right. They have five committees, five chairs—

Mr. Krivatsy said as a person from one of the trenches he would like to clarify that no one thought they were going to recommend changes to zoning. An implementation tool might be zoning and it is up to the City to decide how they want to implement ideas.

Mayor Kelly moved, seconded by Councilmember Belser, that the Council direction be as follows: Five committees will be formed (not counting the BAC); the Council will appoint chairs and vice chairs for each committee (BAC may recommend applicants to the Council); the Council will review the budgets and agendas of each committee. The motion was approved unanimously without a roll call vote.

C. Police and Fire Building Update

Community Development Department Director Paul Kermoyan reported the Planning Commission approved the project at its July 18, 2007 meeting with conditions, including that the City bring the application back to the Commission at its September 26 meeting. Still unresolved are some landscaping issues, such as planters, benches, water features, public art and other refinements that the Commission wants to see more information on. They also wanted more detail on cornice features; there were concerns about noise with the mechanical rooftop equipment and about removing some rooftop equipment in order

to open up views for the neighbor. The commission only wants one flag pole; the project architect will work with the new neighbor to the west on his concerns about views and privacy issues. The City's website has been updated with this report and notice of the next meeting before the Commission in September. The Council's next step is to direct the project architect to begin design development.

Mayor Kelly moved, seconded by Councilmember Weiner, to direct the architect to go forward with the design development phase. The motion was approved unanimously without a roll call vote.

Public Comment

Peter Van Meter said the bond Oversight Committee is yearning to call a meeting.

Councilmember Leone said the problem is there is nothing to oversee yet. The Council and staff have been working with the project manager to rectify all accounting to date; no bond monies have been expended out of the bond funds yet; it's all been reinvested and rolled over. There will be a revised budget in light of the changes going on.

Mayor Kelly asked Dale Vaughn, Administrative Services Manager, to set a meeting date for the Oversight Committee in September with the election of a chair on the agenda.

Mr. Van Meter said the Committee hasn't received information on what its duties are.

Mayor Kelly said he and the City Attorney and Councilmember Leone will attend that first meeting to discuss the duties of the Committee.

Mr. Van Meter added regarding the business visioning process, anyone who attended meetings of the Marinship committee knows that 90 percent of the conversation had to do with land use and zoning. So excluding land use and zoning is going to create a problem.

D. Update on Community Development Department Plan Check Services

Community Development Director Paul Kermoyan noted that staff monitored its plan check consulting services from 2005 to 2006. Staff observed that the former plan check process could not maintain the desired level of public service for building plan checks, staff found it necessary to hire additional plan check consultants to process building plan check proposals. The City Council authorized the City Manager to enter into contracts with four plan check consultants on September 19, 2006: Coastland Engineering, Bureau Veritas, CSG Consultants and The Phillips Group. The Council directed that staff return with an update and review of their performance. In the review staff found that two consultants really outshine the others; those two are the Phillips Group and CSG. If you asked the planning staff who they would want, they would want one consultant and that would be CSG. CSG outshines them all. They offer expedited plan check services, the City knows what the plan check costs are going in, they are very reliable and dependable.

The Phillips Group has made some changes in response to the City's review; staff is not recommending continuing to use Coastland Engineering and Bureau Veritas for plan
check, but he wouldn't recommend dissolving the relationship with Coastland or Bureau Veritas as there may be other areas that the City will need their services.
The building department is currently requesting proposals from CSG and Phillips to staff the Council's desire to implement a one-stop permit process. Once the two proposals are
submitted, staff will return to the City Council with a plan to implement the process.
Councilmember Leone asked if there are any monies left on deposit from any of those firms' accounts.
Mr. Kermoyan said whatever monies were in those accounts, he believes has now been removed, but he will be working on preparing purchase orders to redeposit money into those accounts to handle any outstanding projects.
Councilmember Albritton asked if the department has actually tracked and expedited turn around of plan check through this process.
Councilmember Leone asked how long "expedited" plan checks take.
Mr. Kermoyan said "expedited" is five working days.
Councilmember Leone asked if they've hit that target.
Mr. Kermoyan said yes, CSG has. Bruce Huff had a bad experience with expedited plan check with Bureau Veritas.
Councilmember Leone asked how long is the normal plan check taking?
Mr. Kermoyan said CSG and Phillips Group are both around two weeks. Previously it was about four weeks.
Mayor Kelly asked for public comment, but there was no response.
There was a Council consensus to direct Mr. Kermoyan to proceed with his recommendations.
Councilmember Leone noted that Mr. Kermoyan has been working hard to expedite the process.
E. Update on Harrison Park Design
City Engineer Todd Teachout said this process started in 2002, and there are a lot of people who have been working on it a long time and are ready to the project going;
DRAFT/UNAPPROVED

however, there are some new residents that have had recent input. He would like to meet with the residents during August, but vacation schedules may prohibit those meetings. In any event, staff will compile a written history and schedule a meeting and bring forth a plan as soon as possible. He's hoping to identify what everybody can agree on and what they can't agree on, then go back to Parks and Rec with that plan in September and hopefully bring something to the October Planning Commission meeting.

Mayor Kelly said they need to set a very tight time schedule and let everybody know this is what has to be done when in order to preserve funding for the park from the state and other sources.

Mayor Kelly asked for public comment, but there was no response.

F. Report on Police Department activities for 2nd quarter 2007

Police Chief Scott Paulin reported that:

O Traffic collisions have continued to rise slightly during the first half of 2007, resulting in a 17 percent increase over 2006 at the same time (48 in 2006 vs. 56 in 2007.) Speed violations have decreased in terms of accident cause; 45 percent of all traffic collisions occurred along the Bridgeway corridor. Noteworthy is that bike accidents have accelerated this year compared to the last two years. They're doing another bike county and public education check point.

Councilmember Weiner noted the bike count is up in the last six months; you can see the increase, just visually.

Chief Paulin continued:

o DUI arrests have continued to go down as have DUI accidents, so the department feels it is getting better compliance.

O Part One crimes have gone up over last year but compared to the last three years, they aren't significantly up; they have improved solvability with 12 arrests. The new records and management system gives the officers better access to criminal history. They are getting a better accounting of suspicious circumstances and people and that information is being shared throughout the county.

o Part Two offenses have gone down a little bit; there were 383 Part Two Crimes reported in 2006 compared to 344 like crimes in 2007.

o Calls for service have increased; false alarm calls have gone down.

o Changes to the department include restructuring of the detective's role, some of it is due to an MOU change, some was done to bring more cost efficiencies, they've

eliminated the detective shift and benefit package, now all officers including detectives will work 12 hour shifts. They've gone to two detectives and assigned them to day shifts. The detectives no longer report to the captain. They're using all 12 officers, three on each shift, with a sergeant on each shift, and two of the officers on the day shift will be assigned as detectives. They are also changing the focus of the detectives to be more proactive and interactive with not only the existing staff and patrol but also to be there for immediate backup, coverage, better reporting and supervision. There is also a change in focus as far as networking within the county, with an emphasis on keeping connected as far as parolees and repeat offenders.

o On boat use, they've had 11 uses for the second quarter. They had one arrest and tow, they are training an additional officer for use in the program.

The department recorded two "take-downs," one on a domestic violence call and the other on a resisting arrest call. They have had no citizen complaints.

In response to a question from Councilmember Weiner, Chief Paulin stated that the bike accidents most recently have been caused by some aggressive bike riding.

The pedestrian sting that took place last week was very successful. The department received a lot of positive feedback. The department issued about 50-plus tickets in one day.

Public Comment

Neal Whitelaw, a 40-year resident of Sausalito, stated that he's 70 and doesn't have a car. He gets around mostly by walking and he would say he almost gets run down three to five times a week on Bridgeway. He's afraid something very serious is going to happen if the police don't do something about the situation of cars not stopping for pedestrians.

Chief Paulin said they're going to do another sting day next week; the fines are about \$150, he believes.

Mr. Whitelaw said a sting is one thing, he's not sure that solves the problem for tourists and visitors who just don't care. He doesn't know what can be done. You can't have a traffic light at every intersection.

Councilmember Leone said some communities have put in the flashing lights that indicates a pedestrian is waiting to cross.

Councilmember Weiner said on the other hand, he sees people stop at crosswalks all the time.

1	Councilmember Leone asked if there is any trend as to the demographic of who is being
2	ticketed.
3	
4	Chief Paulin said he didn't know; he didn't get any complaints from anyone ticketed. He
5	doesn't have that data yet.
6	
7	Councilmember Albritton said the pedestrian signs were a really good idea.

Chief Paulin said they need to replace some of those signs.

Councilmember Albritton asked Chief Paulin to report in his next quarterly report why bike accidents are up and what the City can do about that; he'd also like to hear ideas about how the City can address the pedestrian problems. He'd like to hear what the current thinking is on enhancing the visibility on pedestrians.

Councilmember Leone suggested contacting some of the bicycle coalitions to dialogue about the bike problems.

Chief Paulin noted he's communicated with the Marin County Bicycle Coalition and has a good relationship with them.

Councilmember Albritton suggested sending a letter to the Coalition citing the City's statistics, noting that after the bike path was installed, the accidents went down but now they are spiking up again and ask if the Coalition has any ideas on why that's happening.

G. Approve participation agreement by and between the City of Sausalito and the Amgen Tour of California for the 2008 stage 1 start: City of Sausalito (Formerly Item 3 on Consent calendar)

Councilmember Leone said he asked this be pulled from the Consent Calendar not because of the typos, which he assumes will be fixed, but because he noted the agreement prevents the City from having other cultural events the same weekend unless Amgen agrees to them. That's an issue that they may want to think about for future years; he'd like to see that restriction discussed and better defined next time.

Councilmember Leone said they also need to refine the process a little bit next time; they are approving a contract that evening prior to issuing the special event permit. Those two actions need to be aligned better, so that the contract and the permit are approved at the same time, which will also assure that other groups don't complain of being treated differently. The City also needs to examine the budget.

Mayor Kelly asked is the City supplying more hotel rooms than they did before? And how are they going to do that?

Chief Paulin said that's probably the most significant change; they are asking for more hotel rooms.

Mayor Kelly asked is it up to the City to go out and find people who will provide them?

Chief Paulin said yes, they'll be looking at chain hotels outside of Sausalito, and yes, the funds come out of the City's funds.

Councilmember Leone said as the City gains more institutional knowledge about how this works, the City can have more of a clearing house approach to it.

Mayor Kelly said one of the problems is the City gets the organization's request at such short notice.

City Attorney Mary Wagner said when this contract was first discussed for the first event two years ago, the City staff spent a tremendous amount of time over a short period of time working on the contract. At that time, the city attorneys involved pooled their comments and came up with a contract the cities could all live with. She has reviewed the contract for the last two years against that original contract, so she doesn't want the Council to think that this contract has not been given a significant amount of review. She clarified that the prohibition against other events is against "civic-led, major civic, sporting or cultural events." She advised that whenever something has arisen in a tour city which would require Amgen's approval, it has not to date been problematic. She will speak with Amgen's representatives to get clearer guidelines, particularly with respect to the amount of time stated.

Mayor Kelly moved, Councilmember Weiner seconded, to approve participation agreement by and between the City of Sausalito and the Amgen Tour of California for the 2008 stage 1 start: City of Sausalito. The motion was approved unanimously without a roll call vote.

H. Approve a memorandum of understanding between the City of Sausalito and the Sausalito Police Association as authorized by the City Council for labor negotiations (formerly Item 7 on Consent Calendar)

Councilmember Leone noted the budget items called out to offset the 5 percent are really mostly one-time expenses, , so they are not structural changes like the overtime change Chief Paulin mentioned earlier. He asked if the City will be faced with having to delay expenditures of these one-time items if they are needed in order to maintain this 5 percent reduction.

Chief Paulin said there are one-time cost savings in the budget, but there are also some funds that were available and needed to be used that can offset future expenses; the overtime rate is a permanent reduction. One of the reductions that can be a permanent solution and budget reduction is there is a PEO who is planning to retire in December;

he'd like to make that a part time position rather than a full time position, which would give the department another \$30,000 a year in the budget. That hasn't occurred yet, so he hasn't included it as a given in the budget. They are still analyzing whether they will be able to get four part time people. Salary savings over the last two years has been significant due to turnover. That is probably going to continue. In other words, he's not worried about making up that 2 percent, there's going to be a real 2 percent in the overall budget that adds up over the next two years, but Councilmember Leone is right, beyond that one time, one incident, the overtime budget could go up so there aren't any guarantees. But he can guarantee the reduction in the operating budget over the next two years; it will also give them some flexibility. And that 2 percent reduction will be built into the bottom line.

Councilmember Leone said he understands, but if the things they are giving up are not structural changes, they're going to have to come up with other solutions, as Chief Paulin has described.

Chief Paulin added that they may be able to adjust the number of vehicles needed as well.

Councilmember Leone moved, seconded by Councilmember Weiner, to approve a memorandum of understanding between the City of Sausalito and the Sausalito Police Association as authorized by the City Council for labor negotiations. The motion was approved unanimously without a roll call vote.

7. CITY MANAGER AND COUNCILMEMBER REPORTS

A. **City Manager Reports** – Chief Paulin said the Finance Director has asked him to bring the pension obligation bond to the Council for discussion.

Mayor Kelly suggested continuing that discussion due to the late hour. He suggested putting it on the agenda for September 4, 2007.

Mayor Kelly asked for public comment, but there was no response.

B. Future Agenda Items

Councilmember Leone asked to agendize a discussion to clarify the criteria for the downtown versus "not downtown" historic districts and how the existing guidelines are being used by the HLB and the Planning Department, to make sure there's a consistent message.

Mayor Kelly agreed, but he suggested staff do a little research on the subject before it coming back on the agenda.

1 2	Public Comment				
3 4	Vicki Nichols said she knows there have been some emails and discussions on this.				
5 6 7 8	Planni lack o	r Kelly noted that he believes the Council will be hearing an appeal of a 3-2 ing Commission decision to tear down Charlie Merrill's house, and he thinks the of clarity in the historic guidelines is going to come up, so it would be good to get a from staff before that happens.			
9 10	C.	Mayor's update on City Manager Recruitment Process – None			
11 12 13	D.	City Council Appointments to Board, Commissions and Committees			
14 15 16		intment to Library Board alternate position (no term specified) – Continued to neeting.			
17 18 19		intments to Sausalito Waste and Recycling Commission (four expired terms gh February 2010; one unexpired term through February 2009			
20 21 22	Mayo Towe	r Kelly nominated Brett Van Emst, Abe Christensen, Raymond Gergus and Monicars.			
23 24 25		Councilmember Albritton moved, seconded by Councilmember Belser, approval by acclamation.			
26 27	Mayo	r Kelly clarified the terms for the appointments are as follows:			
28 29 30		Van Emst, Raymond Gergus and Monica Towers to full terms; and Abetensen to the unexpired term that extends through February 2009.			
30 31 32	Mayo	r Kelly asked for public comment, but there was no response.			
33 34	(Coun	cilmember Belser left the dais and the meeting).			
35 36	E.	Mayor's appointments to other working groups			
37 38 39 40 41		1. Bicycle and Pedestrian Task force: 1 resident bike advocate, 1 resident pedestrian advocate, 1 member of the business advisory committee, 1 City Council representative, 1 Planning Commission representative – Continued			
42 43 44 45	F.	Council discussion on scheduling dinners with the Sausalito Marin City school district board and the Willow Creek board of directors – The Council asked the school districts to provide a choice of dates to the Council.			
T.J					

1 G. Council discussion of procedure by which Council agrees upon and directs 2 staff preparation of City Council proclamations and certificates of 3 appreciation to community members and organizations – Continued 4 5 H. **Councilmember Reports** 6 7 Councilmember Albritton noted that Marin County Transit District met in closed session 8 to discuss bus stops and ADA issues. The Transit District has agreed to pay for the bus 9 stops but the cities would be responsible for the improvements on the routes. 10 11 Chief Paulin noted that there's been discussion among the cities that they may not want 12 to accept the District's cookie-cutter bus stops. 13 14 Councilmember Albritton noted Marin Transit is launching its new name Monday. 15 Charles McGlashan wants to meet with Councilmember Albritton and Councilmember 16 Leone before the TAM meeting to talk about how to get the shuttle going. 17 18 Councilmember Leone said he went to the follow up on the GGNRA presentation of the 19 transportation plan at the Bay Model, and the net of it was they chose to ignore 20 Alexander Avenue for any improvements because technically they don't own it. There 21 was no mention of any of the items included in the settlement, no water transportation, 22 for example. The Transportation Plan really has no benefit for Sausalito in it. 23 24 Councilmember Albritton added that one of the requirements in the agreement that was a 25 given was that the GGNRA would extend the queuing lane for turning left onto Bunker 26 Road when coming off of Alexander. Now, they're saying Alexander belongs to the 27 Bridge District, not to them. 28 29 After discussion, Councilmember Albritton suggested the Council needs to address and 30 clarify these transportation issues, traffic issues, bus routes, in a letter. 31 32 Councilmember Leone agreed. He will confer with Councilmember Albritton on the 33 memorandum of understanding related to the settlement agreement and make sure the 34 City's issues are clear in the letter. 35 36 Councilmember Weiner thanked Chief Paulin for working so quickly to get the 22 Bus 37 Stop moved to the Ice House, which is working much better. 38 39 Chief Paulin reported that they have put in automatic flush toilets and automatic locks on 40 the public bathrooms; Councilmember Leone expressed concern about the cleanliness of 41 the public bathrooms; there was a discussion about cleaning services or what the schedule 42 was now for the Public Works department to clean the bathrooms. 43

Mayor Kelly suggested review of the public works department duties is something they

need to put on the new City Manager's agenda.

44

45

DRAFT / UNAPPROVED Sausalito City Council Minutes of July 24, 2007 Page 37

1			
2	7. ADJOURNMENT		
3			
4	Councilmember Albritton moved, seconded by Councilmember Weiner, to adjourn		
5	at 11:56 pm. The motion was approved unanimously without a roll call vote. The		
6	next regular City Council meeting will be held September 4, 2007.		
7			
8	Respectfully submitted,		
9	Tricia Cambron / Minutes Clerk		
10			
11			
12	APPROVED:		