1 2 3 SAUSALITO PLANNING COMMISSION 4 **REGULAR MEETING** 5 Wednesday, April 23, 2008 6 **APPROVED MINUTES** 7 8 At 6:30 p.m., Chair Kellman convened the April 23, 2008 Regular Meeting of the 9 Sausalito Planning Commission in the Council Chambers of City Hall at 420 Litho 10 Street. 11 12 **ROLL CALL** 13 14 PRESENT: Commissioners Bair, Petersen, Bossio Vice Chair Keller: Chair Kellman 15 ABSENT: 16 None 17 18 APPROVAL OF AGENDA 19 20 Chair Kellman moved, seconded by Commissioner Bossio, to approve the 21 agenda as submitted. 22 23 **ROLL CALL** 24 25

AYES: **Commissioners Petersen, Bossio and Bair;**

Vice Chair Keller; Chair Kellman

NOES: None.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT

30 31 32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

26

27

28 29

> Community Development Director Jeremy Graves reported to the Commission on the issue of lighting at Bridgeway Gas that was raised in public comment at the April 9, 2008 meeting. Staff has looked into the existing regulations of the Municipal Code as well as the situation at Bridgeway Gas. The Municipal Code does not contain lighting specific regulations except to the extent that by practice it is regulated through the standard development review process for applications: secondarily is regulated through code enforcement, typically as a nuisance action if appropriate. Looking at the lighting situation at Bridgeway Gas, a review of the file reveals that the service station went through a design review in 1994 and 1995 which addressed the construction of a new canopy and the installation of new lighting. The lighting improvements were reviewed by the design review board at that time and the applicant, as part of the conditions, was required to have the lighting reviewed by an electrical engineer at the time of installation. Additional conditions addressed issues such as recessed lighting and limitations on the lighting intensity which were intended to mitigate the impacts to neighboring residences and businesses. A lighting study was subsequently

performed and that study concluded that the lighting as installed was consistent with what was approved by the design review board. Based upon public comment and the Commission's direction, staff is continuing to investigate this matter and will be conducting a night time visit as well as reviewing the new fixtures that were installed to determine whether they are consistent with the lighting originally approved by the design review board; then, if necessary, staff will contact the property owner to bring the site into compliance where

8 9 10

11 12 appropriate.

Vice Chair Keller said he's been down there late in the evening and those lights are incredibly bright in relation to the apartments that are on the upper level. From his recollection, those lights were put in after the original application of 1994. Are there any time restrictions on those lights?

13 14 15

Mr. Graves said his review of the conditions of approval for the project did not reveal any time restrictions on the lights. Certainly it did appear that there were conditions that spoke to the intensity of the light.

17 18

16

19 Vice Chair Keller said they're intense, and they're on late.

20 21

22

Mr. Graves said that's correct and if they are more intense than what was originally approved, the property owner would need to come back to the Commission for an approval for that new lighting.

232425

Commissioner Petersen said if the lights are compliant with the previous approval but yet are really obnoxious, is there anything that the City can do? If they're in compliance but they are still a real nuisance?

272829

26

Mr. Graves said he'll bring the issue up with the City Attorney.

30 31

Commissioner Petersen said he doesn't know if they have light ordinances similar to the noise ordinances the City has.

32 33 34

Mr. Graves said it seems not.

35

Commissioner Petersen said so if they are in compliance with the approval, there's very little the City can do. Hopefully it's something that can be worked out with the neighbors.

39 40

Mr. Graves said certainly the staff will be asking the applicant to provide justification that the new lights are in compliance with the originally approved conditions. They either are or are not.

42 43

41

Commissioner Petersen said it would be wise for the Commission to know when they're approving lighting to know exactly how much of the lighting is going to spill off the property. They currently use pretty simple language about shielded and downward facing, but if the light is 40 feet in the air that still allows for a lot of spillage into the neighboring properties.

Vice Chair Keller noted the Commissioners have received numerous emails recently with regard to 33 Miller Avenue. The Commission hasn't had an update from staff about the property; it really disturbs him when he gets an email from neighbors saying that there's work being conducted on Sunday.

Mr. Graves said that property is an ongoing enforcement situation. Staff is working with the City Attorney on that issue. With respect to the property owner's contractors working hours outside of those hours allowed by City regulations for construction, staff has had discussions with the police department on that and the police chief today has reminded his troops of the restrictions on construction hours in the Municipal Code. He is confident that if a comparable complaint comes in in the future that the police department will be ready to enforce those sections of the Municipal Code, for example, regarding work on a Saturday or Sunday.

Chair Kellman said one of the items that the Commission has been hoping to address is the idea of administrative penalties in the community and the fact that they are incredibly below market, if you will. It's something that they would like to form a subcommittee on and move forward with, so once Mr. Graves gets his feet on the ground, he should be aware of the Commission's desire to address this issue and perhaps model the City's efforts on those currently in place in Tiburon. It's a huge problem and quite often the Commission hears people that come in say "Well, what happens if we don't comply?" or "What happens when my neighbor doesn't comply?" At this point the Commission doesn't have a whole lot to say. So when the planning staff is ready, the Commission is ready to address this issue.

 Mr. Graves said the City Council is going to be holding a strategy session Friday, which is open to the public, where the councilmembers will be reviewing the priorities for the different departments in the City. One of the items up for discussion for the planning department is code enforcement. It's an all day session from 8:30 a.m. until 4 p.m. Presently the City's code enforcement is done on a complaint driven basis and handled by the planning staff. They are down a deputy planning director as well as an assistant planner in the department and certainly from his perspective if the City is able to get an assistant planner on board, one of his or her responsibilities will be to increase code enforcement. Without that position being filled, enforcement will continue to be handled on a complaint-driven basis. With the budget shortfalls being reported at the state level, the state is going to be looking to make up a large portion of that shortfall by additional take aways from the cities, so Sausalito is anticipating budget reductions and there's a good likelihood the two positions of deputy planning director and the assistant planner will be frozen.

Chair Kellman said the Commission has been talking about this for a long time and someone ran the numbers and found if they took all the red tagged projects and multiplied them by the number of days they were red tagged and then applied any sort of real, meaningful penalty, those penalties would actually pay for a full time code enforcement person.

Mr. Graves said the question is what is a "real penalty."

Chair Kellman said she's basing it on what surrounding communities have, such as Tiburon or Belvedere.

 Vice Chair Keller said the way it is right now, it's a hundred dollars and that's it, as opposed to a dollar amount per day. He appreciates what Mr. Graves' is saying, but the City needs to segregate code enforcement with levels of fines. Kenneth does an exceptional job in terms of inspection on projects as well as tagging projects. It's always been Vice Chair Keller's contention that it's ludicrous in light of the cost of doing business, that if someone is doing a million dollar project and the fine is only \$100 to get red tagged, he'll do that all day long. That's cheap. And the City needs to segregate the two. Code enforcement is one thing and that can be done on a complaint basis, it's more a matter of the level of

Mr. Graves said he concurs with the Commission's concerns. Typically the fines are in three levels, that increase per day. The issue is on the list; it is on the radar.

fines. They are just so out of date; the present levels go back to the '50s.

 Chair Kellman said speaking of bringing money to the community, she understands the Parks and Rec Committee is meeting to discuss whether or not to allow a local cycling club to hold a race in town in June. Does the planning department have any involvement in that?

Mr. Graves said no.

 Chair Kellman invited Planner Sierra Russell, as they go through the solar process and any other involvement she has with the GHD initiatives in the Community and Marin County, to feel free to put those on a director's report or staff report; it would be great to keep the community informed, particularly as to how the solar process is going.

Ms. Russell said Chair Kellman can also contact the new Parks and Rec director about the Parks and Rec Commission meeting on the bicycling event.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Chair Kellman asked for public comment on items not on the agenda.

No response.

1 2 3

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

4 5

Chair Kellman moved, seconded by Vice Chair Keller, to approve the minutes of January 9, 2008 minutes

6 7 8

The motion was approved unanimously without a roll call vote.

9

NEW PUBLIC HEARINGS

10 11 12

1. 660 BRIDGEWAY (CUP 08-002/APN 065-133-25) **Chris Henry (Owner/Applicant)**

13 14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

The applicant and property owner, Chris Henry, requests Planning Commission approval of a Conditional Use Permit to convert a vacant second story tenant space located at 660 Bridgeway into offices. The second story tenant space has been vacant for several years and was previously a restaurant use. No exterior changes are proposed to the structure, and the interior changes proposed consist of new wall partitions in the upper story, new ADA accessible bathrooms, new ADA elevator/lift, installation of fire sprinklers, and repair of dry rot.

22 23 24

Staff Report by Assistant City Planner Sierra Russell

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

Ms. Russell reported that this is an application requesting Planning Commission approval of a Conditional Use Permit to convert a vacant second story tenant space located at 660 Bridgeway into offices. The second story tenant space has been vacant for several years and was previously a restaurant use. No exterior changes are proposed to the structure, and the interior changes proposed consist of new wall partitions in the upper story, new ADA accessible bathrooms, new ADA elevator/lift, installation of fire sprinklers, and repair of dry rot.

32 33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

This property is located in the Central Commercial zoning district in the Downtown Historic District at the corner of Bridgeway and Princess (pointing to map). The building is adjacent to Yee Tok Chee Park. The bottom floor currently houses retail stores. The upper story was previously Houlihan's and has been vacant since that restaurant closed approximately three years ago. The property is also adjacent to Richardson Bay. There are no proposed exterior changes but the proposal for the use permit would include new wall partitions to create four new office spaces and each one would include an ADA-accessible bathroom and an ADA lift to bring the property into compliance with ADA regulations.

42 43

46

Ms. Russell displayed the existing elevations of the structure. In terms of historical

44 45 background, this site was previously the site of the Sausalito Land & Ferry

Company. This photo was taken in 1910 and it houses the real estate offices for

the Sausalito Land & Ferry Company. Later the upper story housed Sausalito's first public library. In the 1940s this structure was demolished and the Purity Market was built in 1941; this is a photo from 1969. In the 1980s it was remodeled to include that exterior second story portion which doesn't really integrate well with the architecture. The building previously was used for two restaurants; it was used for Houlihan's for approximately 18 years and was then vacated; it's been vacant for approximately three years.

Commissioner Petersen said it was Water Street Grill for a few years, also, correct?

Ms. Russell said that's correct. This application did go to the Historic Landmarks Board and the Board reviewed the conversion proposal on February 25 and April 7, 2008. Ultimately the Board forwarded a recommendation of approval to the Commission with a 2 to 1 voice. Two Boardmembers were in favor of the use conversion but had concerns relating to storage of office materials and other office related supplies in front of the window and how that might impact the view along Bridgeway. Their feeling was that they could recommend approval with a condition of approval that the applicant would employ actions to reduce visibility of office equipment and materials from off site locations. The Board also expressed concern related to the economic impacts on the Downtown Historic District and its economic vitality. Specifically one Boardmember was not supportive of the project due to concerns about impacts to financial stability, vitality, and visitor attraction to downtown. A 2-1 vote on the board is a majority vote.

In terms of compliance with the zoning ordinance, the use conversion does not trigger additional off street parking as the new office requires less parking than was previously required for the restaurant.

Chair Kellman asked how staff can make that determination prior to knowing who the tenants are going to be? For example, if it's a doctor's office, can the Commission make that same determination?

Ms. Russell said yes, because for any office, it's one space per 300 square feet. Restaurants are the highest intensity parking requirement, so it would be hard to find a use that required additional parking other than a restaurant.

Commissioner Petersen noted that for Houlihan's and for many of the restaurants that are there, they're generally serving people who are taking the boat over and then most of the people who work there probably take Golden Gate Transit to get there. So, in truth, there's a whole different world of parking reality versus the code.

Ms. Russell said in order to approve the use conversion the Commission will need to make the office conversion findings, which are listed in detail in the staff report and in the attached resolution. As staff has summarized in the attached resolution,

staff is recommending that these findings can be made for a variety of reasons. In particular, one of the findings requires that the change in use will not create an overconcentration of offices within the Central Commercial District, and staff has verified this by walking the downtown to see how many upper story offices there are and also checking business licenses. From what she could see there are approximately three buildings that house offices in the upper story. Based on the concentration of retail and other uses, it didn't appear that this would create an over concentration of offices within the Central Commercial District. The new use is also providing for a less intense parking demand than the previous restaurant use.

The Planning Commission will also need to make the findings required for the conditional use permit; those findings are also listed in the attached resolution. One of those findings is that the use is consistent with the purpose of the Central Commercial District and the Downtown Historic District. Again, staff is recommending that these findings could be made relating particularly to findings A and D; A is that the purpose of the Central Commercial District is to provide for a wide variety of retail and service businesses. The offices would be considered a service business, of which there are currently few in the downtown, that could potentially bring in employees who would patronize local businesses. The other issue is the town encourages ground floor retail and upper floor residential uses. This obviously isn't residential use, but this structure hasn't historically been used for residential on the upper floor. It is designed for more storage/office-type uses and was used for that with the Purity Market and later was used for retail and restaurants which hasn't proven economically viable. So for those reasons the offices would be of similar intensity to residential use.

For those reasons, staff can recommend those findings can be made. Staff is recommending approval of the conditional use permit as included in the attached resolution.

Presentation by Applicant Architect

Richard Berling is an architect with Pacific Design Group in Larkspur. He thanked Ms. Russell for her excellent staff report. His firm approached the project three or four months ago; the space had been vacant for over three years' and is in great disrepair. The reason Houlihan's shut down was lack of economic viability and the lack of handicap accessibility factors. The applicant's primary goal is to bring it up to compliance. With that as a directive, they made the bathrooms accessible and while they were doing the upgrades, the owner was grappling with what kind of use would be appropriate for this space with a world class view of the City. In the three years that it's been vacant, maintenance has been deferred and there's rotting taking place. The primary goal of the project is to establish what use is going to be there. They investigated what would make the most sense regarding the concept of professional offices. It didn't make sense to do a lot of small offices. Due to the circulation pattern, bisecting the building into a bay side and a street

side, each half approximately 1,200 to 1,500 square feet, seemed to make the most sense. They went ahead with floor plans to accommodate that program and that is what is before the Commission that evening.

Remarks by Applicant/Property Owner Chris Henry

Mr. Henry owns 660 Bridgeway. He is asking for the Commission's help with this particular building. It has a long history in Sausalito as a restaurant and before that it was used as offices. He's asking for the office use due to the fact that's he tried to rent the space out during the year that he's owned it and he hasn't had any takers for the restaurant space, but he's had a lot of interest for office. In fact, he has a letter of intent from a long-time San Francisco maritime company who is interested in renting half the space upstairs. The office use conforms to some of the other buildings in the historical area of downtown. It will actually lower the traffic impact compared to a restaurant use. There's been some talk about vitality, how office use may lower the vitality of the downtown Sausalito area. He disagrees with that assessment. Vitality will actually increase because office use will bring in office workers who will patronize the local restaurants and shops downtown.

Commissioner Petersen asked Mr. Henry if he's pursued restaurants as tenants? There are groups where you can pitch this use as a restaurant. How eager was he to actually get a restaurant? Has it been a real effort or was it just during the time he was looking for tenants, no one happened to come by that was interested in putting a restaurant there?

 Mr. Henry said he contacted a lot of successful local restaurant people. He talked to Larry Mindell and some other local restaurant people, not only in Marin but in San Francisco. Their main opinion was that second story restaurants aren't economically viable. That people today just don't want to go upstairs to eat. That's what he's been hearing over and over from experienced restaurant people. He's had quite a few other people look at it and that seems to be the obstacle; nobody seems to want to go upstairs to eat. The restaurants that have been there have failed; it has a long history of restaurant failure. Economically speaking he thinks that offices are the best way to go, from a landlord's standpoint.

Chair Kellman asked did Mr. Henry have a certain vision for the property when he purchased it a year ago?

Mr. Henry said originally he thought it would make a nice high-end restaurant. But he's learned a lot in the past year from talking to experienced restaurateurs. He's just been told over and over that a second story restaurant is not economically feasible. He's a long term investor. He has a vision for the building. He'd like it to influence the downtown area. He's interested in participating somehow in upgrading the park and the handicap area adjacent to the building. It would be nice to see it attract more people. It has a lot of possibility, given its location, and

1 2 3	the views are first class views. He has a long term vision and he plans on being there quite a while; he's a long term investor.
4 5 6 7	Chair Kellman said the staff report mentions the maritime company from San Francisco and then it said "several other local professionals." Is that something that's written in stone or he's just had inquiries?
8 9 10	Mr. Henry said that's not written in stone yet. He has a letter of intent from a San Francisco maritime company to lease approximately half the space.
11 12	Chair Kellman asked if there has been any additional interest?
13 14 15	Mr. Henry said yes; Larry Mindell is interested in renting one of the offices and Mr. Henry is potentially interested in taking one of the offices for his company.
16 17 18 19 20	Chair Kellman asked staff what is the vacancy rate in town for office space, further away from downtown? Has there been a discussion about anticipated rents and how those compare to the rents that are being asked at some of the existing office space?
21 22 23	Ms. Russell said that's a good question and the answer is no, staff did not look into that. That type of economic data hasn't been collected for the downtown as of yet.
24 25 26	Mr. Berling said the rents in Sausalito for office space range from two to three dollars a square foot and this probably would be at the higher end.
27 28 29	Vice Chair Keller noted it says in the staff report that Mr. Henry is intending to put in a new ADA elevator lift?
30 31	Mr. Henry said that's located to the right as you come in the front door.
32 33 34	Vice Chair Keller asked if he's talking about what's called the common area? He doesn't see anything for the elevator.
35 36 37	Chair Kellman asked if the architect can point out on the plans where it says "elevator."
38 39	(Architect pointing to drawings.)
40 41	Chair Kellman asked if that area should be indicated as an elevator on the plans?
42 43	Mr. Berling said yes.
44 45	Chair Kellman said that change needs to be made.

Chair Kellman said she notices that there are no exterior changes proposed; why is that? The applicant is going to be making structural changes, there is dry rot, they are installing an elevator. Mr. Henry said he wanted to impact the community, upgrade the look and feel; would he be open to making some exterior changes?

4 5 6

1

2

3

Mr. Henry said absolutely. In fact, he's worked with Don Olsen on that particular aspect but they're not ready to make a presentation yet.

7 8 9

Public Comment

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

2324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

Mike Monsef lives on Fourth Street. He is in a very difficult position. He is speaking on behalf of himself. He would like to correct the record. He is a member of HLB; he thought there had to be 3 votes in favor to approve a project. The vote was 2 to 1; he voted against the project. As long as he has been in Sausalito, he's seen three restaurants in this building. There was one before Houlihan's and then Houlihan's came in and then Water Street Grill took over. Now, to get to the body of his concern. He believes the area next to the property used to be a parking lot and the City decided to share that beauty for everybody in the community and they converted that parking lot into a park, which is Yee Tok Chee Park right now. He believes in property rights and he's having a hard time objecting to Mr. Henry's proposal, but he believes that sociologically the project will negatively affect downtown. Mr. Henry's project would reverse what was done to the parking lot, i.e., convert it to a park. The Commissioners should be aware that the impact of a decision in favor of Mr. Henry would not show the damage to downtown for a few years. He is often told by members of the community, "I have no interest to be downtown because the bakery's gone, the butcher's gone, and now I don't see why I should be downtown." By eliminating a place like Old Water Street restaurant, which was a hang out for a bunch of young upscale members of the Sausalito community, the City is increasing that frustration for people. There's no reason for these people to come downtown now. He remembers he used to go downtown to listen to music. When Mr. Henry bought this property, he knew what the problems were and with that understanding, he bought this property. Mr. Monsef is a real estate agent himself, and at one point he approached Mr. Galen, who was the owner, Mr. Galen was asking for \$9 million. Mr. Monsef had someone from Texas come in at \$7.5 million; they were interested in the place. Mr. Henry ended up buying this place for six-something million. With handicap access being provided to that building a restaurant can be operated there. He has told Mr. Henry that, "As much as I would like you to be here, I have to go against this." He's only one person, but he knows the impacts on downtown; he spends a lot of time downtown.

40 41 42

43

44 45

46

Chair Kellman said the Commission knows how active Mr. Monsef is in the downtown area. The testimony before the Commission is that the space has been vacant for three years. So her question to Mr. Monsef, as a member of the downtown association and as someone involved in the downtown community, is what kind of trade the City is making in putting in a use where the potential is to

actually have tenants and have people going in and out and taxes coming to the City versus the fact that it's been vacant for three years. Three years of vacancy really hasn't done anything to enhance the vitality of the community.

3 4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

1

2

Mr. Monsef said he can answer that. Of the three years that it was vacant, a couple of years were when Mr. Galen had it. Mr. Galen and the owner of the Water Street Grill were in a feud. Mr. Galen didn't want to spend the money to put in the handicap access, or elevator, over there. Then when Mr. Henry bought it. Mr. Monsef said he has shared with Mr. Henry that there is an identical piece of property on Shattuck in Berkeley that some distant family member of Mr. Monsef's bought and converted to a mini-ferry terminal. It's a very successful piece of property. A lot of people go over there and spend a lot of time over there. It doesn't have to be a restaurant, it can be commercial upstairs. But by converting it to offices, you eliminate the public privilege to take advantage of that beautiful view and limit it to a few privileged people. He doesn't know whether Mr. Henry has really spent the time to research another use. Putting a sign out "For Lease," is not sufficient. People will not come to you. Mr. Monsef rents a space downtown. Sometimes he has to give something in order to bring them in. He wonders what offers have been given to these potential tenants in order to bring them downtown. Sociologically it's very important that when you design or start planning something, you create a center focus for the town. If you go to the old towns in Europe, the church is the center focus and all the houses are built around it. For Sausalito, as a downtown, if they keep eliminating these activities downtown you're going to kill the downtown.

242526

27

28 29

30

Chair Kellman noted that on page 4 of the staff report the CUP finding related to impacts on downtown, says "The purpose of the Central Commercial District is to allow for continuous ground floor retail frontage and prohibit establishments that break up such continuity, and to provide for upper floor residential uses." Would Mr. Monsef have this same opinion if Mr. Henry wanted to put four apartments there?

31 32

Mr. Monsef said yes, he would.

333435

36

Chair Kellman said by code it says the purpose of the Central Commercial District is "to provide for upper floor residential uses." So he would be able to do that under the code.

37 38 39

40

Mr. Monsef said at that point the historical value comes into play. He remembers when he tried to convert the little pizza place to the walkway and they said, "it's cute, don't touch it." Now, they are changing because--

41 42 43

Chair Kellman said what she's not getting is what is the historical value of the structure. Is it the use? Is it the exterior? What is it in his mind?

Mr. Monsef said the use is a historical value, especially when you come to the downtown, the use is a historical value. When the applicant came to HLB, two of the Boardmembers voted for it; one said it was because it has less impact of the traffic; the other one was in favor because there was no direction in the bylaws of HLB to deny or to accept it. But from his point of view, it's a psychological impact, a sociological impact to downtown. It's very important.

Chair Kellman said but to be clear if the applicant were proposing four small condos, Mr. Monsef would have the same argument? Even though residential uses on a second floor is specifically called out as allowed in the Central Commercial District.?

Mr. Monsef said when the code says something, then you have to evaluate it-- you have to go beyond the code to understand whether the historical value is important or not. To answer her question, no, he doesn't have any objection. His objections are personal. He's not talking on behalf of anybody, but he knows downtown, he knows the impact of this business downtown and he knows how much it is going to damage downtown. They want the traffic; the vitality. Another question: one of the biggest taxpayers in the community are restaurants.

 Vice Chair Keller said let's be realistic. The City has he doesn't know how many restaurants in Sausalito; the restaurant business is a very difficult business; the City had Ondine's, which was redone, but couldn't make it. The City has maybe two or three restaurants downtown that are doing reasonably well. The City sees restaurants closing all the time. It's a difficult business. If Mr. Monsef was in this owner's position, is he telling the Commission he wouldn't want diversification in this building from the standpoint of revenue as opposed to putting another restaurant on the second floor of a place that's been vacant for three years? There's going to be complaints from the tenant down below who already is running a café restaurant. You're going to have two restaurants in one building. It seems that if you have four offices up there, you're going to have permanent traffic, people that are going to be using the downtown area every day because they're working there. What would Mr. Monsef put up there? If he owned this building, what would he put up on the second floor? If you can't find a restaurateur who's willing to go into that space, what would you put in there?

Mr. Monsef said he is a restaurateur, he was a restaurateur, he had Gatsby's.

Vice Chair Keller asked how many times has that place turned over?

Mr. Monsef said he knows. But as long as he had it, he turned around overnight, in one weekend, he changed that and he made it successful. He competed with Gate 5 and he won. But the people that came in, of course they ruined it. And another thing, he remembers when the restaurant above Poggio--

Vice Chair Keller said the bottom line is if you're a property owner and you actively go out-- which they applicant says he's done-- and even if he doesn't, if you don't' find a restaurant that's willing to go into that space, you have to look for other alternative sources of revenue for that commercial space. It's very logical. He can understand why a restaurateur wouldn't want to move in there. Look at Gaylord's, look at that space.

Chair Kellman suggested not getting into a debate about whether a restaurant should be there or not. She understands Mr. Monsef is opposed to the project and that he feels it's going to negatively impact the vitality of the downtown.

Commissioner Petersen asked Mr. Monsef, aside from restaurants, are there other types of uses that he could suggest just as a local businessman and property owner? Mr. Monsef knows the pulse of downtown; what are other kinds of socially interactive uses that may not be quite as volatile as a restaurant? The first thing he thinks of is the Depot Café, which serves a similar function to Mill Valley as this could for downtown Sausalito, which is part retail, part restaurant. Is there some kind of combination that's a little bit more engaging of the public that allows people to move through that beautiful space, which is right adjacent to a park and the water, and right adjacent to the main downtown intersection?

 Mr. Monsef said you can make it commercial; that's what he suggested to Mr. Henry; he advised Mr. Henry to go see this place down on Shattuck. What they did upstairs, they had a little smaller commercial area and the way they attracted—the same way they attracted people to go up to Village Fair, people went up there when there was some attraction to go up there for. The City can do that, you know, revitalize the upstairs. And that place on Shattuck is very successful and they never have a vacancy. To answer Mr. Keller, yes, a restaurateur has to be a special people, not everybody can be a restaurateur. Plus Francois had the restaurant up on the second floor and it was a successful restaurant for a long time. So in any event, whatever decision the Commission makes, obviously he'll have to respect it, but on the other hand, he will warn that by changing this one to residential or office space, it's like putting a dagger into the heart of downtown.

Vicky Nichols is the chair of the Historic Landmarks Board. She respects Mr. Monsef's passion about his point. When HLB was shown the plans, one of the reasons that she could make the findings that this was going to be of lesser impact than it had been as a restaurant is as this plan was proposed these are going to be four large offices. She did raise the question of what she saw happen in Marinship during the dot com where 20 people were squeezed into those spaces, and there's nothing to say that can't happen again, but HLB did try to point out that these were smaller offices and the furniture wouldn't be piled up in the windows so you see all these computers and that kind of thing. As long as they are small spaces, that's what the HLB was considering as the use. She doesn't know if the size can be part of the conditions of approval, but that's what the applicant represented to the HLB.

1 2

3

Mr. Monsef said a good example is the little café down in Mr. Henry's building, how many people came in that couldn't make it until Mauro went in over there. His character made that place and now it's successful.

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

Aness Pogni owns Jewelry by the Bay; she's a tenant of Mr. Henry's. She's been in Sausalito for 25 years, she's had about six stores in town. She's experienced life with a restaurant upstairs and she's experienced life without a restaurant up there for the past three years. She's had pretty good business in the last three years without the restaurant. She doesn't see a big loss of the vibrancy downtown without a restaurant there. She is looking forward to having professional, local, nontourist tenants working in the downtown area so it would attract more people to her store that are more local, which she doesn't get so much. Her business is very slow in the wintertime, very busy in the summer, and she likes the idea of attracting local business people.

15 16 17

No further public comment.

18 19

Public Comment Closed.

20 21

Commission Discussion

2223

Chair Kellman asked for Commission comments. Does anyone have a strong opinion either way?

242526

Commissioner Bossio said she has a strong opinion in favor of the project. If Chair Kellman feels otherwise, she can start.

272829

30

31 32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

Chair Kellman said she actually does have a strong opinion otherwise. If the City does allow offices in this area, they will be beautiful offices. The City also then starts going down the pathway of "What is the Downtown Historic District?" and inevitably someone points to the last office space and the last office space and the City may sort of lose that area. She cannot but think -- and she doesn't know Mr. Henry and she means no offense to him if he looked long and hard at hundreds of different businesses-- but this seems to be the very easy way out, "Let's do an office space." She could easily see a bookstore and a café up there. It doesn't need to be a Houlihan's, which frankly she never liked their food, so that might have been a reason it didn't succeed. But there are a lot of creative solutions that take into account the fact this is a really unique town with a historical community space and if Mr. Henry really wants office space, she can point him to 20 other buildings in Sausalito's zip code where you can find office space. The historic district is small, the whole town is small, and this is a small area of history and she would loved to have seen the application speak to that with some sort of creativity. It doesn't have to be all tourist, all the time, the City certainly doesn't need another t-shirt shop, but if that's what he wanted to do, that would be a tourist-serving enterprise. She understands the tenant's perspective that she'd like more

residents to come into the downtown area, but frankly, when a business is good it's going to attract people, whether you are aimed at tourists or you're aimed at locals; if you are good, if you provide quality service and quality goods, people are going to come from everywhere. Look at Sushi Ran, that's the best example. She can't help but feel the application suffers from a lack of creativity given the area of town. This is such a specific town with so many historic issues that the City has labored over; the Commission has labored over, and she would like to have seen a little more creativity other than what sort of seems to be the easy solution to recouping some rent, which is "let's do an office space."

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

2324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

1

2

3 4

6 7

8

Commissioner Petersen said he would like to continue with Chair Kellman's thoughts. He's gone back and forth on this application. He liked the idea of there being offices downtown. He loves the fact that Don Olsen is down there. There are still some people serving the local community down there; the City has pretty much lost that whole sector of town as the residents' own place to live. He has this weird vision that one day they'll get it back. So he likes the idea of there being office space there that provides services, perhaps local services and so on, but not in that building. It isn't just the district, it's that place. That place has got huge windows onto a park, onto the water and it's at a very, very important corner. And he can't imagine fluorescent lights in there at night time and Title 24, Part 6 is going to mandate that they put fluorescent lights there. There'll be computer monitors in the window when you're dining down below in the park. It's just an awful specter at such a special moment in the town, a very special moment in the town. And to let it not be open to the public seems a real shame. He likes the idea of there being professional offices downtown, but not in this building. There are plenty of other opportunities in town for that use. But this just seems to be a place that is begging for social interaction. It really is the hub of downtown. And it doesn't have to tourist-serving; it could just be a unique solution that takes advantage of the unique situation that's there. He does think offices are the easiest, quickest way out; it's the least that you have to invest in the building to be able to rent it out. There is office stock for that elsewhere; this is just a really special moment that needs a much more site specific solution. That park is very important, as tiny as it is, and that intersection is very important. He went back and forth on it; it's a hard one for him.

343536

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

Commissioner Bair said he has the same sort of conflict about this application. It can fit into the findings, it helps some with diversity. But ultimately he thinks this is something that once it's gone, it's gone. Once the City loses that view-- it's not "ours," but it has been quasi public in the sense it's been a restaurant and the public was allowed to enjoy those views. The hard part is, yeah, we have a lot of views along there, they're outside, but a restaurant use or some other use that was in the same arena that allowed the public to enjoy those views is ultimately where he's finding himself landing. He really thinks the City will lose something over time; restaurants do fail regularly, but it's just like Gaylord's there now. They've been through Valhalla and others; there are restaurateurs to be found if one goes to look for them. He's not saying that's the preferred usage here, it's just

a space that at least since Houlihan's was there, had a certain allure to the community or attractiveness. Once it goes to this use, you're going to lose it to the other uses for all time. Christophe's down there on the corner of Spring Street is another example of a small good restaurant that went under and as he understands it, it is now going to office use.

5 6 7

8

1

2

3 4

Vice Chair Keller said realistically they didn't go under. They closed because they were required to put in an extensive amount of money to bring it up to ADA standards. So let's be honest about that.

9 10 11

Commissioner Bossio said \$30,000, which definitely that extensive--

12 13

14

15

Commissioner Bair said that's one of the primary reasons Water Street went out of business-- it was about the ADA issue and who was going to pay for it-- and it's awful hard to get somebody new to come in if you're not going to pay for the ADA improvements.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

Commissioner Bossio said part of her argument is that use is market driven; that's not to say the City has a bunch of people who want to put in office space and they're just going to leave that unregulated. But for instance, Christophe's was there for a long time; they had to come up with \$30,000 to make it ADA compliant. The margins in restaurants, with the exception of maybe the Buckeye Group, are very skinny and so people can't just come up with \$30,000 out of pocket. The landlord wasn't willing to put the money in to make it ADA complaint and it becomes an office use. What control do you have over that when no one else wants to step up? This piece of property, for her, is more like a successful mixed use such as Café Trieste and the law offices that are above Café Trieste. You have a vibrant place where community involvement is intense below, like you do at El Picola; it took a while for El Picola to take off, and now it's very vibrant there. It's successful and people enjoy it and it draws people to that location. It's a frenzied area already. At most times, seven days a week except for certain periods of the year, there is too much energy at that location. And so she looks at the office space as being a calming effect in an area where a vibrant use is already being successful. It's really kind of a perfect situation because you have retail, you have the restaurant use downstairs, it's always packed, and then there's upstairs. Why hasn't the market picked this up? The City has some of the finest restaurateurs in the world in the Bay Area; why haven't they been attracted to the space? It's been sitting there for three years; there's plenty of people that know what to do with restaurants in the Napa, Sonoma, San Francisco area. Why is it they haven't been drawn to it? The applicant shouldn't have to even advertise for space that is as desirable as that space is, if it's truly desirable for a restaurant location.

41 42 43

Chair Kellman said they keep talking about a restaurant, a restaurant. There are thousands of other types of uses.

1 Commissioner Bossio asked: But would those uses be profitable for him? For 2 instance, if they did a café/bookstore, which sounds fantastic-- and the bookstore across from Gaylord, Habitat, is looking for a bigger spot, it would be perfect for 3 4 Habitat. Why haven't they wandered in and figured out how to use it? A bookstore cafe could never afford space like that. The fact that it's perfectly perched in the middle of Paradise is going to prevent some very creative uses because those 6 7 creative uses will never be able to rise to the occasion. The fact that he said \$3 a 8 square foot-- she pays \$3 a square foot for her office space and t doesn't come 9 anywhere near what having an office in that building would offer. That the market is that low for that area is surprising to her. Regarding music, No Name has music; 10 Patterson's used to, Café Divino, very successful every single night with music; 11 12 Café Trieste and so she sees a lot of successful music venues. She would love to 13 have seen this place become a blues café. But she just doesn't think that it would 14 be able to sustain itself. Everyone knows that place is there. Every business that has ever thought about being a business knows that that location is available; why 15 haven't they moved in? 16

17 18

19

20

Commissioner Petersen said alternately, the real history of it is that it has been a place for a restaurant. For a restaurant to survive for 18 years means it must be a great place for a restaurant. It must be viable. We're talking about three years now; that could just be more about personalities than about real estate.

21 22 23

Chair Kellman asked to hear from Vice Chair Keller.

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

Vice Chair Keller said he tends to agree with Commissioner Bossio. In a perfect, ideal situation, sure, he'd love to see a restaurant there, but he's got to be realistic about it. Could there be other resident-serving uses besides offices? Possibly, yes. But the bottom line is that the applicant is before the Commission wanting to convert the upstairs to office space. You've got this in San Francisco, where you've got retail space on the lower floor and you've got either residential or commercial up above. If he was the owner of this building, he'd probably be applying for the same thing. In the end you want diversification of tenants in your building so that you've got a continual, stable revenue base, not only for the owner but also for the City. He hears what the other Commissioners are saying and you can say that it's a tragedy that the public is not going to have access to this view, but the bottom line is that it's been vacant for three years; nothing's going on with the place. You can ask yourself why isn't someone like Yoshi or Larry Mindell looking at that space? There's something about that space that doesn't attract them. Granted, it's got a great view. The same thing with Ondine's. Why isn't something there? There's a reason for it. He just thinks that maybe you can ask yourself if there are too many restaurants in Sausalito. Maybe there are too many art galleries in Sausalito. From his perspective, he'd rather see those places occupied than be vacant just because the City idealistically would like to see something that the residents define as more historical and as restaurant, etcetera. He'd be very much in favor of seeing something there.

Chair Kellman said it sounds like the project is headed towards a denial. One of the things the Commission is dealing with here is this isn't just any part of Sausalito. This isn't the corner of Olive where Christophe's is, it is a very unique part of Sausalito. So maybe the Commission could explore with the applicant a real mixed use, which is come back to the Commission with two CUP applications or maybe just one for half the space as an office space and the other half as something that seems to fit more within what the other half of the Commission is suggesting, to further that mixed use idea. Because she sees it heading towards a no, but there might be room for creativity if the applicant is willing to explore that?

Commissioner Petersen said that particular place really, really deserves a creative solution and not just the easiest way out.

Chair Kellman said what the Commission is struggling with is the fact that the property is located within the historic district. The area has no end of history you can draw from in being creative. She tends to not be one of those folks who looks at commercial uses and says there's too many x or y's. She still thinks that if it's good and it has a good product it does well. Go to Santa Fe and there's a gallery on every corner and in every storefront. So, if it's good, it'll do well. If the applicant is open to looking at something that maybe addresses the Commission's issue, the Commission can entertain a continuance rather than a denial. But if it's a denial, then the applicant's option would be to appeal it to the City Council.

(A member of the audience asked to speak.)

Chair Kellman said if he is a member of the applicant's team and has something additional to say he may. But she doesn't want to continue to debate whether a restaurant can be successful there. The point the Commission is making relates to the historic nature of the downtown area and that specific area under the zoning code. So she's waiting to hear if they want a continuance or a vote of denial.

The applicant asked for recess to discuss the options.

(Recess)

Richard Berling said the applicant appreciates the difficulty of the Commission's decision; he grew up in Marin and he knows the importance of these wonderful little nuggets of space that you find around Marin County. But just because historically someone has sat in a restaurant and looked at a view and paid \$10, \$20, \$50 for a meal, it's tough to impose that kind of requirement if when the customer leaves the building, the owner is stuck with a losing proposition. This is a very down economic time also, which also influences the situation. His firm is always thinking about ways to have a creative solution; they're not attorneys, they don't want to fight. There usually is a design solution for some of these problems, but money is real too, and it's very difficult to hold on to these properties in this day and age, particularly now when you hope to have a tenant, and hope to have

1	somebody o	all you and say, "Oh it would be great." If you threw out enough money		
2	and creativity any spot can be turned into a successful project in the sense that a			
3	lot of people would come and enjoy it. It might take you to the poorhouse but it			
4	might be successful in the context that the Commission is talking about. Everyone			
5	would love a bookstore, a coffee shop, a little blues in the background and a great			
6	view of the City.			
7				
8	Chair Kellman asked Mr. Berling if his client wished to have a continuance or a			
9	vote?			
10				
11	Mr. Berling said with all of that being said and understood, they'd like to get a			
12	continuance and try to come up with something and see where it goes.			
13				
14	Chair Kellman said that's a good idea. They'll make it a date uncertain, when the			
15	applicant's ready come back, just let staff know and ask to be put back on the			
16	agenda. She appreciates the applicant taking the opportunity, because she			
17	believes the	believes they can probably do some wonderful things there.		
18				
19	Chair Kellman moved, seconded by Commissioner Petersen, to continue the			
20 21	application to a date uncertain.			
22	ROLL CALL			
23	NOLL CALL	<u>=</u>		
24	AYES:	Petersen, Bair, Chair Kellman		
25	NOES:	Vice Chair Keller, Commissioner Bossio		
26				
27	Chair Kellm	nan moved, seconded by Commissioner Petersen, to adjourn the		
28	meeting. The next Planning Commission meeting is May 14, 2008.			
29	J			
30	Respectfully submitted,			
31				
32	Tricia Cambron			
33	Minutes Clerk			
34				
35	I:\CDD\Plan Comm\Minutes\2008\04-23-08-Approved.doc			