



HISTORIC LANDMARKS BOARD MINUTES

CITY OF SAUSALITO

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT / 420 LITHO STREET / SAUSALITO, CA 94965 / (415) 289-4128 **FINAL**

MEETING DATE: **Wednesday, January 8, 2014**

MEETING TIME: **6:30PM**

LOCATION: **Conference Room, 420 Litho Street, Sausalito**

1. CALL TO ORDER

The HLB meeting convened at 6:30PM. Board members Pierce, Feeney, Kiernat, and Fraser were present. Board member McCoy was absent. Associate Planner Scoble was also present. Members of the public included Doug Childs, Sandy Stadtfeld, Mark Hulbert, Fritz Vanderlinden, and Ariel Levine.

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT ON THIS AGENDA- *None*

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA- *Approved*

4. OLD BUSINESS-

A. VANDERLINDEN RESIDENCE/ 206 THIRD STREET

The HLB reviewed the supplemental information provided by Carey and Co. date-stamped January 7, 2014 for the property at 206 Third Street. With the historic information provided by Carey and Co., the HLB concluded their review by stating that based on the information available to the HLB, the building is not considered to be historically significant. As such, the HLB made the following findings:

1. Is the structure associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of the history, culture, or heritage of Sausalito, California, or the United States? Such structures may include but are not limited to civic structures, properties featured in publications, and sites where significant events occurred.

The board finds No Significance under this criterion.

2. Is this structure associated with the life or lives of one or more people important in our past? Such structures may include but are not limited to homes of prominent persons and places referenced by prominent persons.

The board finds No Significance under this criterion.

3. Does the structure embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represent the work of an important creative individual, or possess high artistic values? Such structures may include but are not limited to exceptional examples of architecture or an architect's work; more ordinary examples of such work are emblematic of a particular style or era; and any works by prominent creative individuals.

The board finds No Significance under this criterion.

4. Has the structure yielded, or may it be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history? Such structures may include but are not limited to archeological sites.

The board finds No Significance under this criterion.

Based on the findings, the HLB determined that the existing residence is not considered to have historic significance.

B. NEW RESIDENCE/ 274 GLEN DRIVE

The HLB reviewed the supplemental information provided by Preservation Architecture dated December 31, 2013 for the property at 274 Glen Drive. With the historic information provided by Preservation Architecture, the HLB determined the building is not considered to be historically significant. As such, the HLB made the following findings:

1. Is the structure associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of the history, culture, or heritage of Sausalito, California, or the United States? Such structures may include but are not limited to civic structures, properties featured in publications, and sites where significant events occurred.

The board finds No Significance under this criterion.

2. Is this structure associated with the life or lives of one or more people important in our past? Such structures may include but are not limited to homes of prominent persons and places referenced by prominent persons.

The board finds No Significance under this criterion.

3. Does the structure embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represent the work of an important creative individual, or possess high artistic values? Such structures may include but are not limited to exceptional examples of architecture or an architect's work; more ordinary examples of such work are emblematic of a particular style or era; and any works by prominent creative individuals.

The board finds No Significance under this criterion.

4. Has the structure yielded, or may it be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history? Such structures may include but are not limited to archeological sites.

The board finds No Significance under this criterion.

Based on the findings, the HLB determined that the existing residence is not considered to have historic significance.

5. NEW BUSINESS-

A. TANGLEWOOD FENCE/ 168 HARRISON AVENUE

The HLB conducted a study session review for a Design Review Permit to install a six-foot tall fence around the perimeter of the property known as Tanglewood, a Local Historic Register landmark. The HLB stated that the project can be supported and that the following information be provided on the final plan set:

- ✓ *Only show the fence to be installed*
- ✓ *Clearly note that the existing gate on Harrison Avenue will remain*
- ✓ *Clearly note that the existing gate on Bulkley Avenue will match the Harrison Avenue Gate*

6. APPROVAL OF MINUTES- None

7. **COMMUNICATIONS-** *Staff planner Scoble provided an update to the Board on the status of the Machine Shop National Register Nomination.*

Meeting Minutes Approved:


Secretary

2-14-14
Date