

AGENDA TITLE:

Actuarial Valuation of Postemployment Health Care Benefits (GASB 45)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:

Accept and Approve the Actuarial Valuation of Postemployment Health Care Benefits (GASB 45) Report

SUMMARY

The City of Sausalito offers eligible permanent full-time employees who retire with the City medical and dental single coverage (dental for management employees only) for life. The City is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement 45 (GASB 45), Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions (OPEB), to comply with GASB 45 in fiscal year 2008-09 and to disclose the unfunded retiree health care benefits cost as a liability on the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR). The City selected Nicolay Consulting Group (Actuary) through the Request for Proposal process to prepare the actuarial study. Staff is recommending that the City Council accept and approve the actuarial valuation report prepared by the Actuary based on the valuation date of January 1, 2008.

BACKGROUND

In July 2004, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board issued Statement 45 which requires governmental entities to begin accounting for the postemployment benefits on an accrual basis rather than the "pay-as-you-go" accounting method. The City of Sausalito currently offers permanent full-time employees who were members of the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) through the City of Sausalito for twenty (20) or more years, and who were of the age of 50 for public safety employees (Fire and Police) and age 55 for nonpublic safety employees, the single rate monthly health insurance premium paid in full by the City until death. In addition to the medical benefits, management employees who previously retired plus three active management employees receive life time single dental coverage paid for by the City.

To comply with GASB 45, Nicolay Consulting Group prepared the actuarial study and determined that as of January 1, 2008, the City has an Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) of \$5,926,348. This actuarial determined liability is based on the "entry age normal" actuarial cost method, 30 year amortization of the UAAL (as a level percent of payroll), and a 5% discount rate.

ISSUES

Just like the State of California and various governmental agencies that offer retiree health care benefits to eligible employees, the City of Sausalito is now faced with a huge UAAL and must come up with solutions and funding. Even though GASB 45 does not require funding of the UAAL, it does require that if an employer fails to fund the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) as determined by the Actuary to book the unfunded ARC as a net OPEB Obligation (liability) in the CAFR. The ARC as determined by the Actuary is \$571,637 per year until another study is performed, with funding to start in fiscal year 2008-09. GASB 45 requires the actuarial valuation report to be updated every three years for the City of Sausalito.

There are several funding approaches to pay off the UAAL including "pay as you go", level dollar 20 years, level dollar 30 years, level percent of payroll 20 years, and level percent of payroll 30 years. The level dollar 20 years and 30 years' options are more expensive at the beginning of funding years. Staff recommends that the City adopt the level percent of payroll 30 years for budgeting purposes. The level percent of payroll 30 years is consistent with the CalPERS retirement funding. Due to the budget constraint, the City of Sausalito for years has been using the "pay-as-you-go" to fund its OPEB. The pay-as-you-go funding for fiscal year 2007-08 is budgeted at \$122,123. To lessen the UAAL of \$5,926,348, the City has set aside \$50,000 in fiscal year 2004-05, \$150,000 in fiscal year 2006-07, and \$150,000 in fiscal year 2007-08.

In order for the set aside fund of \$350,000 to help reduce the UAAL, the City must place the \$350,000 set aside fund in an irrevocable trust. Currently, the Finance Committee is recommending that the City manage the fund without a trust. By not placing the fund in a trust, the Actuary is required by GASB 45 to ignore the asset set aside in the calculation of UAAL. Without the credit of asset set aside and full funding for ARC, the UAAL will grow significantly over the future years. If the City stops funding for ARC and use the "pay-as-you-go" funding method, the UAAL in fiscal year 2012-13 is projected to be \$7,959,133, an increase of over \$2,000,000. The current projected UAAL of \$5,926,348 is 48% of the fiscal year 2007-08 General Fund operating expenditure budget.

Staff is seeking City Council direction on the issue of how to handle the set aside fund. There are several options available including continuing City management of the fund, place the fund in the CalPERS 115 trust or with other investment companies that are set up for GASB 45 management.

In order for the City to maintain its fiscal health and fiduciary responsibilities to the employees and the community, it is important that the City and all employees groups work together to find solutions.

Several options are available and have been adopted by cities to help resolve this crisis. Options include a two-tier benefits system for future new hires, a dollar cap on benefits, converting the current defined benefits plan into a defined contribution plan. The defined contribution plan allows the employer or employer and employees to contribute into a retirement health saving plan, which provides an avenue for employees that may not be eligible for employer retiree health care benefits to use pretax earnings to fund future medical benefits upon retirement. Implementation of any of these options will require that the City meet and confer with all bargaining groups. Staff is seeking City Council direction on how to best address the solutions to the UAAL. It is one of the City's strategic goals to attract and retain qualified and talented staff. The retiree health care cost is a very difficult issue and needs special attention.

FISCAL IMPACT

Based on the pay-as-you-go funding, the City budgeted \$122,123 in fiscal year 2007-08 to pay the actual premiums for retiree medical and dental benefits. With the ARC of \$571,637, the City will need to set aside an additional \$450,000 a year.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff and the Finance Committee recommend that the City Council accept and approve the actuarial valuation of postemployment health care benefits report.

ATTACHMENTS

Draft Actuarial Valuation of Postemployment Health Care Benefits Report Funding Approach Schedule

PREPARED AND SUBMITTED BY:

Louise Ho

Finance Director/Treasurer

APPROVED BY:

Adam Politzer

City Manager

 Item #:
 6B

 Meeting Date:
 4-22-08

 Page No.
 3

ATTACHMENT NO. 1

CITY OF SAUSALITO

Actuarial Valuation of
Postemployment Health Care Benefits
Valuation Date: January 1, 2008

 Item #:
 6B

 Meeting Date:
 4-22-08

 Page No.
 4

February 22, 2008

Ms. Louise Ho Finance Director/Treasurer City of Sausalito 420 Litho Street Sausalito, CA 94965

Dear Ms. Ho:

Re: Actuarial Valuation of Postemployment Medical Program

The Nicolay Consulting Group is pleased to present the results of our January 1, 2008 actuarial valuation of the City of Sausalito postemployment health care program. In preparing this report, we relied on employee data and plan information provided by the City. On the basis of that information, this report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and methods. It is our opinion that the actuarial assumptions used are reasonably related to the actual experience of the plan and to anticipated future experience.

The financial projections presented in this report are intended for the City's internal use in evaluating the potential cost of the retiree medical program. Because future events frequently do not occur as expected, it should be recognized that there are usually differences between anticipated and actual results. These differences may be material, especially if there are significant changes in the employee or retiree population. Consequently, we can express no assurance that the projected values will occur. We recommend that the City obtain an updated actuarial valuation every two years.

We, the undersigned, meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

Questions about the report should be directed to Doug Tokerud at (800) 998-7675 x220.

Sincerely,	
Nicolay Consulting Group	
By:	Ву:
Douglas Tokerud, F.S.A.	Dennis Daugherty, F.S.A.
Member, American Academy of Actuaries	Member, American Academy of Actuaries

CITY OF SAUSALITO

Actuarial Valuation of Postemployment health Care Benefits

Table of Contents

SECTION	TITLE	Page
SECTION I	Introduction	1
SECTION II	Valuation Results	2
SECTION III	Plan Description and Demographic Summary	9
SECTION IV	Actuarial Method and Assumptions	12
SECTION V	Glossary	22

W. J. D. C. J. 2000

Valuation Date: January 1, 2008

 Item #: 6B

 Meeting Date: 4-22-08

 Page No. 6

SECTION I

Introduction

The City of Sausalito provides postemployment medical benefits to certain retirees who satisfy plan eligibility requirements. This report provides a July 1, 2008 estimate of the present value of the City's postemployment medical benefits attributable to past service, illustrations of the impact of GASB 45 accounting requirements and a ten-year projection of the pay-as-you-go cost of providing the benefits. **Section II** contains valuation results. **Section III** describes the plan and presents a demographic summary. **Section IV** describes the actuarial assumptions used to complete the valuation. **Section V** is a Glossary of several of the terms used in this report.

Accounting Requirements

In July 2004 the Governmental Accounting Standards Board issued **Statement 45**, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions. This statement requires governmental entities to begin accounting for postemployment benefits on an accrual basis rather than the more common pay-as-you-go accounting. Each employee's benefit will "accrue" throughout their working lifetime and employers will be required to show the annual accruals as a current year expense.

Employers must adopt Statement 45 no later than the plan year that begins after December 15, 2006, 2007 or 2008 depending on the annual revenues of the entity. We understand the City of Sausalito will adopt Statement 45 during the 2008/09 fiscal year.

		Item #:	<u>6B</u>	
Valuation Date: January 1, 2008	Page 1	Meeting Date:	4-22-08	_
		Page No.	7	

SECTION II

Valuation Results

Table 2-1 contains estimates of the present value of the cost of postemployment medical and dental benefits for current retirees and employees who are expected to receive the benefit. The estimates in Table 2-1 are based on a 5.0% discount rate. The valuation date was January 1, 2008. The results were then "rolled forward" (slight upward adjustment) to July 1, 2008 in order to coincide with the City's fiscal year.

Tables 2-3 and 2-4a and 2-4b are based on the "entry age normal" actuarial cost method, and on 30 year amortization of the actuarial accrued liability (as a level percent of payroll.) Table 2-4a shows 5 years of estimated costs assuming continuation of "pay-as-you-go." Table 2-4b assumes full annual ARC funding to a trust. In Table 2-5, for comparison, we show the actuarial accrued liability and first year estimated cost under several alternative approaches.

Table 2-1	
Present Value of Future Postemployment Health	Benefits Attributable to Past Service

As of July 1, 2008 Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method Discount Rate: 5.0%

Actives Retirees and Spouses Actuarial Accrued Liability	Fire \$1,607,677 <u>\$350,328</u> \$1,958,005	Police \$691,405 \$606,404 \$1,297,809	SEIU \$503,153 <u>\$345,277</u> \$848,430	Unrepresented Employees \$840,408 \$981,696 \$1,822,104*	Total \$3,642,643 <u>\$2,283,705</u> \$5,926,348
Actuarial Value of Assets Unfunded Actuarial Accrue	ed Liability				\$0 \$5,926,348
Annual Level Percent of Payroll Amortization of Unfunded AAL Normal Cost (based on Entry Age Normal Cost Method) Annual Required Contribution (ARC) *Includes dental of \$107,076					

Comment: As discussed later in this report, we have assumed that the CalPERS medical plan is what is known as a "community rated" plan under GASB 45. This view is held by CalPERS and is, we believe, widely supported at present. In the

 Valuation Date: January 1, 2008
 Page 2
 Item #: 6B

 Meeting Date: 4-22-08
 Page No. 8

event the accounting and/or actuarial professions, or CalPERS, should change this position in the future, or if CalPERS changes how it determines premium rates to more of an age based method, the estimated liabilities and costs would increase.

Projected Health Benefit Costs

Table 2-2 contains a ten-year projection of the City's pay-as-you-go cost to provide postemployment benefits to current and future retirees.

Table 2-2 Projected Future Annual pay-as-you-go Plan Cost of Postemployment Medical Benefits			
Year	Estimated <u>City Cost</u>		
			
2008/09	\$134,885		
2009/10	\$147,460		
2010/11	\$159,823		
2011/12	\$175,818		
2012/13	\$192,648		
2013/14	\$209,035		
2014/15	\$227,523		
2015/16	\$248,927		
2016/17	\$270,050		
2017/18	\$291,126		

Illustrative Health Benefit Costs Under GASB 45

The first year Annual Required Contribution (ARC) consists of the Normal Cost plus the current period amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability.

Normal Cost is the portion of the actuarial present value of future benefits that is allocated to a particular year. Another interpretation is that the Normal Cost is the present value of future benefits that are "earned" by employees for service rendered during the current year. This valuation is based on the Entry Age Normal actuarial cost method and an attribution period that runs from date of hire until the expected retirement date.

In the year the new accounting rules become effective an employer is allowed to commence amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability, over a period not to exceed 30 years. The following Tables are based on a level percent of projected

payroll amortization over a clos amortization using a level dollar r	ed 30-year period.	Note: Statemen	t 45 also allow	/S
amortization using a level dollar i	neurou.			
			<u>.</u>	
Valuation Date: January 1, 2008	Page 4	encontraction and first trace research mode and con-	Item #: Meeting Date	6 <u>B</u> 4-22-08
			Page No	10

Table 2-3 presents the City's 2008/09 GASB 45 ARC. For this illustration we assumed that the City has not pre-funded any portion of the obligation.

1000	
Development of Illustrative Fiscal Year 200	
OPEB Annual Required Contribution – based on a 5.0	% discount rate
Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$5,926,348
Actuarial Value of Assets	\$0
Infunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$5,926,348
llustrative Amortization Period	30 years
evel percent of pay Amortization Factor (based on a 5.0%	
discount rate and a 3.25% annual increase in payroll)	22.630
Annual Level Percentage of Pay Amort. of Unfunded AAL	\$261,880
Normal Cost (based on the Entry Age Normal Method)	<u>\$309,757</u>
Annual Required Contribution	\$571,637

Table 2-4a presents a five-year projection under the assumptions that the City continues to contribute the pay-as-you-go cost, the discount rate remains 5.0% and the Normal Cost component of the ARC increases by 5.0% per year.

Five-y	year Projection of A ne Entry Age Normal assumi	Table 2-4a City of Sausalito on of Annual OPEB Cost and Net Normal Actuarial Cost Method, a assuming pay-as-you-go funding	Table 2-4a City of Sausalito Five-year Projection of Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation Based on the Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method, a 5.0.% discount rate and assuming pay-as-you-go funding	on rate and		
	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	
Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) Actuarial Value of Assets at beginning of year Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)	\$5,926,348 \$0 \$5,926,348	\$6,394,206 \$0 \$6,394,206	\$6,888,060 \$0 \$6,888,060	\$7,410,200 \$0 \$7,410,200	\$7,959,133 \$0 \$7,959,133	
Remaining Amortization Period	30	59	28	27	26	
Normal Cost	\$309,757	\$325,245	\$341,507	\$358,582	\$376,512	
Amortization of UAAL Annual Required Contribution (ARC)	<u>\$261,880</u> \$571,637	<u>\$290,052</u> \$615,297	\$321,122 \$662,629	\$355,491 \$714,073	\$393,432 \$769,944	
Annual Required Contribution (ARC)	\$571,637 \$0	\$615,297 \$21,838	\$662,629 \$45.331	\$714,073 \$70.624	\$769,944 \$97,680	
Adjustment to ARC	90	(\$19,812)	(\$42,266)	(\$67,761)	(\$96,570)	
Annual OPEB Cost	\$571,637	\$617,323	\$665,694	\$716,936	\$771,054	
City Contributions Increase in net OPEB Obligation	(\$134,885) \$436,752	(\$147,460) \$469,863	<u>(\$159,823)</u> \$505,871	(<u>\$175,818)</u> \$541,118	(\$192,648) \$578,406	
Net OPEB Obligation - Beginning of Year Net OPEB Obligation - End of Year	\$0 \$436,752	\$436,752 \$906,615	\$906,615 \$1,412,486	\$1,412,486 \$1,953,604	\$1,953,604 \$2,532,010	

Note: the ARC adjustment is calculated by dividing the beginning of year net OPEB obligation by the same amortization factor used to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability.

Ualuation Date: January 1, 2008

Page 6

Table 2-4b presents a five-year projection under the assumptions that the City contributes the full Annual Required Contribution to a Trust, the Trust Fund earns 5.0% per year, the discount rate remains 5.0% and the Normal Cost component of the ARC increases 5% per year throughout the five year period. We assumed mid-year additions to and subtractions from the Trust.

Five-year		Table 2-4b City of Camarillo ion of Annual OPEB Cost and Net (Based on a 5.0% discount rate and assuming full ARC funding	Table 2-4b City of Camarillo Projection of Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation Based on a 5.0% discount rate and assuming full ARC funding	u,	
Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) Actuarial Value of Assets at beginning of year Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)	2008/09 \$5,926,348 \$0 \$5,926,348	2009/10 \$6,394,206 \$447,671 \$5,946,535	2010/11 \$6,888,060 \$928,773 \$5,959,287	2011/12 \$7,410,200 \$1,446,205 \$5,963,995	2012/13 \$7,959,133 \$1,999,113 \$5,960,020
Remaining Amortization Period	30	59	28	27	26
Normal Cost Amortization of UAAL Annual Required Contribution (ARC)	\$309,757 <u>\$261,880</u> \$571,637	\$325,245 <u>\$269,745</u> \$594,990	\$341,507 <u>\$277,822</u> \$619,329	\$358,582 <u>\$286,112</u> \$644,694	\$376,512 <u>\$294,613</u> \$671,125
Annual Required Contribution (ARC) Interest on net OPEB Obligation Adjustment to ARC Annual OPEB Cost Contributions to the Trust Increase in net OPEB Obligation	\$571,637 \$0 \$0 \$571,637 (\$571,637)	\$594,990 \$0 \$594,990 (\$594,990)	\$619,329 \$0 \$619,329 (\$619,329) \$0	\$644,694 \$0 \$0 \$644,694 (\$644,694)	\$671,125 \$0 \$0 \$671,125 (\$671,125)
Net OPEB Obligation - Beginning of Year Net OPEB Obligation - End of Year Projected pay-as-you-go cost	\$0 \$0 \$134,885	\$0 \$0 \$147,460	\$0 \$0 \$159,823	\$0 \$0 \$175,818	\$0 \$0 \$192,648

Valuation Date: January 1, 2008

Page 7

Item #:

Meeting Date: Page No. _

6B 4-22-08 13

()	C)
	(1	٠
	ì		١
	Č	ì	١
ĺ		ì	•

Valuation Date: January 1, 2008

 Item #:
 6B

 Meeting Date:
 4-22-08

 Page No.
 14

	Annual Cos	Table 2-5 Annual Cost for Fiscal Year Beginning July 1, 2008	ning July 1, 2008		
	Under Alterna	Under Alternative Actuarial and Amortization Methods	rtization Wethods		
		Actuarial Accrued Liability (prior service)	Prior Service <u>Amortization</u>	Normal <u>Cost</u>	Total Cost Fiscal yr. Beg <u>July 1, 2008</u>
·	Baseline valuation shown in this report (Entry Age Normal method, 30 year amortization of prior service liability as level percentage of payroll	\$5,926,348	\$261,880	\$309,757	\$571,637
	Alternatives:				
73	Same as 1 but 20 year amortization	\$5,926,348	\$363,288	\$309,757	\$673,045
რ	Same as 1 but 30 year level dollar amortization	\$5,926,348	\$385,517	\$309,757	\$695,274
4.	Project Unit Credit method , 30 year amortization of prior service liability as level percentage of payroll	\$5,327,618	\$235,426	\$287,354	\$522,780
5.	Same as 4 but 20 year amortization	\$5,327,618	\$326,586	\$287,354	\$613,940
9	Same as 4 but 30 year level dollar amortization	\$5,327,618	\$346,569	\$287,354	\$633,923

SECTION III

Plan Description and Demographic Summary

Eligibility and Contribution Requirements

We valued separately four employee groups: police, fire, SEIU Local 1021 and unrepresented employees. Fire employees and retirees are covered under a Marin County medical plan. The other groups are covered under the CalPERS medical program.

The three groups covered under CalPERS who retire at age 50 or over with at least 5 years of service receive benefits at least equal to the CalPERS minimum, which is equal to \$97 per month in 2008.

In addition, employees who retire after 20 years of service at or after age 50 for police and fire, and at or after age 55 for other employees, receive total medical benefits up to the Kaiser single retiree premium (including the CalPERS minimum if applicable.)

Spouses of retirees can also be covered, but the retiree is required to pay the spousal premiums. However, upon the death of a retiree covered under CalPERS, a surviving spouse continues to receive the CalPERS minimum benefit at no cost.

The City also pays/will pay for dental benefits for certain unrepresented retirees, and for three current active management employees after retirement. No other future retirees will receive City paid dental benefits.

Medical Plan Options

Eligible retirees may enroll in any of the available CalPERS medical plans or in the case of Fire retirees, any of the available Marin County plans.

Duration of Benefits

City provided benefits continue for the life of the retiree and surviving spouse.

Demographic Data

Tables 3-1 and 3-2 contain summaries of the demographic information provided by the City.

			Tab	le 3-1			
			Age and Se oployees inc				
			as of Janu	ıary 1, 2008			
			Years o	f Service			
<u>Age</u>	<u><5</u>	<u>5-9</u>	<u> 10-14</u>	<u>15-19</u>	20-24	<u>25+</u>	<u>Total</u>
Under 25	<u><5</u> 3	0	0	0	0	0	3
25-29	7	2	0	0	0	0	9
30-34	3	2	1	0	0	0	6
35-39	5	4	4	2	0	0	15
40-44	6	2	1	2	0	0	11
45-49	4	0	0	0	2	0	6
50-54	4	4	1	0	1	3	13
55-59	0	1	1	1	0	3	6
60-64	0	2	0	0	1	0	3
65-69	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
70+	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Total	<u>0</u> 32	18	<u>0</u> 8	_ <u>0</u> 6	<u>0</u> 4	6	74

 Item #:
 6B

 Meeting Date:
 4-22-08

 Page No.
 16

Table 3-2

Age Table for Retirees and Surviving Spouses Receiving Benefits

as of January 1, 2008

Age	Male	Female	Total
Under 50	1	0	1
50-54	2	2	4
55-59	3	1	4
60-64	5	0	5
65-69	5	2	7
70-74	1	0	1
75-79	2	2	4
80-84	0	1	1
85+	_0	_2	_2
Total	19	10	29

 Item #:
 6B

 Meeting Date:
 4-22-08

 Page No.
 17

SECTION IV

Actuarial Method and Assumptions

In order to project the City's liabilities into the future, a number of economic, demographic, and baseline cost assumptions are necessary. We used the same demographic actuarial assumptions as those used in the most recent California PERS pension valuations.

Valuation Date

The valuation date is January 1, 2008. This date is the starting point from which current costs are increased according to the assumed annual rates of health care cost trend. The City census is projected from the valuation date to the anticipated date of the final benefit payment for each employee and retiree on the census. After calculating future costs for the projected retiree and dependent population, all liabilities are discounted back to the valuation date to obtain the present value of future costs. As mentioned earlier, our valuation results were then rolled forward to July 1, 2008, the effective date of GASB 45 for the City of Sausalito.

Economic Assumptions

Discount Rate

A discount rate is required to calculate the present value of future benefit payments which are used to determine financial statement expense. We used a 5.0% discount rate for this valuation. We assumed that this rate is representative of the long term rate of return the City could expect to achieve on funds used to pay its postemployment healthcare obligation.

Baseline Cost

Estimates of retiree health benefit obligations are normally based on current costs for a one year period. We refer to this as the *baseline cost*. The components of baseline cost, such as average per capita cost, and the current plan population are projected into the future to estimate the cost of future benefits.

The large majority of City employees and retirees have elected Kaiser medical coverage. Also, the City paid benefits of all future retirees will be capped at Kaiser rates. Therefore, we have assumed that all future retirees will elect Kaiser coverage. For current retirees receiving a more expensive City paid coverage, we have included in our calculations such more expensive benefits.

Kaiser medical premiums for 2008 are shown in Table 4-1 below.

		able 4-1 Medical Premiu	ms	
	Before	Age 65	After A	ge 65
	Single	Married	<u>Single</u>	<u>Married</u>
Fire	\$475.04	\$950.08	\$371.41	\$742.82
Other (CALPERS Bay Area)	470.67	941.34	273.36	546.72

For the few individuals who are receiving, or may receive in the future, City paid retiree dental coverage, we assumed the rate of \$40.82 per month for 2008, and that future dental premiums will increase 5% per year.

CalPERS has indicated that its medical program is a "community rated" plan as described in GASB 45. This means that all participating employers located in the same geographical region pay the same premium rates even though older employees and early retirees generally have higher medical costs than younger employees. If CalPERS changes its present practice and at a future date decides to modify the premium structure so that it charges more on average for non-Medicare retirees than for active employees, then higher costs would need to be allocated to retirees, and this could result in a substantial increase in the City's Actuarial Accrued Liability and Annual Required Contribution. Please also see the related comment on page 2.

For the Fire retirees, we have **not** treated the medical plan as community rated, since it is a local (Marin County) plan. GASB 45 requires that, for plans which are nor community rated, medical premium rates need to be "age graded" in order to reflect the fact that younger people have lower medical costs than older people. We used the following age graded premium rates to value the fire group, based on our own database and on a 2003 study sponsored by the Society of Actuaries.

 Item #: 6B

 Meeting Date: 4-22-08

 Page No. 19

Table 4-2	
Rate charged for Employees and Retirees under Age 65	<u>Monthly</u> \$475.04
Corresponding Age Graded Rates <u>Age</u>	
25	\$270.08
35	\$389.50
45	\$537.83
55	\$738.67
60	\$881.58
64	\$1,039.25
65 and over*	\$371.41
*Since a separate rate is charged for retirees 65 and older	(\$371.41) and

^{*}Since a separate rate is charged for retirees 65 and older (\$371.41) and there is much less cost variance after that age, the \$371.41 amount was used at ages 65 and over.

Contributions

Retirees are responsible for any premium costs in excess of the City's contribution.

Health Care Trend

The rate of increase in per capita health care costs is commonly referred to as the health care trend rate. Although the term "health care inflation" is sometimes used synonymously with the trend rate, health care inflation is only one of several components of the trend rate. The analysis recognizes the following influences on health care trend: pure medical inflation, utilization changes, technological changes, regulatory requirements, Medicare cost shifting, and aging.

We assumed the following annual rates of increase in Kaiser medical premiums.

Table 4-: Annual Health C Trend Rate Ass	are Cost
the Plan Year	Estimated
<u>Beginning</u>	<u>Increase</u>
January 1, 2009	8.5%
January 1, 2010	8.2%
January 1, 2011	7.9%
January 1, 2012	7.6%
January 1, 2013	7.3%
January 1, 2014	7.0%
January 1, 2015	6.7%
January 1, 2016	6.4%
January 1, 2017	6.1%
January 1, 2018	5.8%
January 1, 2019 and thereafter	5.5%

We assumed the CalPERS minimum benefit (\$97 for 2008) will increase 5% per year.

Payroll Increases

In this valuation we assumed a 3.25% annual rate of increase in City payroll. This rate is a component of the Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method and is used in the calculation of the amortization component of the Annual Required Contribution and in calculation of the Normal Cost.

Amortization Methodology

GASB 45 allows amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability based on a level dollar approach or as a level percentage of covered payroll. The maximum amortization period is 30 years. This valuation is based on a closed, 30-year amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability as a level percentage of payroll; increasing each year as the payroll increases.

Plan Assets

We understand that as of January 1, 2008, no portion of this obligation had been prefunded.

		Item #:	6B
Valuation Date: January 1, 2008	Page 15	Meeting Date:	4-22-08
		Page No.	21

Demographic Assumptions

In estimating this obligation, a number of demographic assumptions are needed. These assumptions are the same as those used in the most recent California PERS valuation.

Withdrawal

For the Fire and Police valuations we used the same withdrawal rates as those used in the most recent California PERS Fire and Police retirement plan valuations. Selected rates are shown below.

	Table 4-4		
	Police and Fire		
Commission	Withdrawal Rate	es .	
Completed Years of			
Service	Fire	Police	
0	0.09470	0.12990	
1	0.07390	0.08160	
2	0.05310	0.03480	
3	0.03230	0.03310	
4	0.02900	0.03140	
5	0.02570	0.02970	
6	0.02230	0.02810	
7	0.01890	0.02630	
8	0.01560	0.02470	
9	0.01230	0.02300	
10	0.00900	0.02130	
15	0.00790	0.01290	
20	0.00690	0.00970	
25	0.00570	0.00820	
30	0.00540	0.00760	
35	0.00090	0.00120	

For all other City employees we used withdrawal rates that match those used in the most recent California PERS Public Agency Miscellaneous retirement plan valuations. Sample rates are shown below.

Table 4-5 Annual Withdrawal Rates							
<u>Service</u>	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
0	0.17600	0.16910	0.16220	0.15525	0.14830	0.14140	0.13450
1	0.15610	0.14920	0.14230	0.13535	0.12840	0.12150	0.11460
2	0.13620	0.12930	0.12240	0.11545	0.10850	0.10160	0.09470
3	0.11630	0.10940	0.10250	0.09555	0.08860	0.08170	0.07480
4	0.09640	0.08950	0.08260	0.07565	0.06870	0.06180	0.05490
5	0.07650	0.06965	0.06270	0.05575	0.04880	0.03085	0.01290
6	0.07270	0.06580	0.05880	0.05190	0.04500	0.02810	0.01120
7	0.06890	0.06190	0.05500	0.04815	0.04110	0.02535	0.00960
8	0.06500	0.05805	0.05120	0.04425	0.03730	0.02265	0.00800
9	0.06120	0.05430	0.04730	0.04040	0.03350	0.02000	0.00650
10	0.05740	0.05045	0.04350	0.03660	0.00950	0.00730	0.00510
15	0.04460	0.03755	0.03070	0.00645	0.00460	0.00270	0.00080
20	0.03180	0.02490	0.00410	0.00250	0.00090	0.00055	0.00020
25	0.01900	0.00215	0.00090	0.00055	0.00020	0.00020	0.00020
30	0.00100	0.00060	0.00020	0.00020	0.00020	0.00020	0.00020
35	0.00020	0.00020	0.00020	0.00020	0.00020	0.00010	0.00000

Retirement Rates

For Fire employees we used the same retirement rates as those used in the most recent California PERS 3% @55 Firefighter retirement plan valuation. Sample rates are shown below.

		An	Table Fi I nual Rates		ent		
			Ye	ars of Servi	ice		
<u>Age</u>	5	10	15	20	25	30	35
50	0.00240	0.00240	0.00240	0.00350	0.00550	0.00650	0.00650
51	0.00480	0.00480	0.00480	0.00700	0.01100	0.01280	0.01280
52	0.01470	0.01470	0.01470	0.02150	0.03390	0.03960	0.03960
53	0.04250	0.04250	0.04250	0.06210	0.09790	0.11420	0.11420
54	0.05670	0.05670	0.05670	0.08280	0.13060	0.15230	0.15230
55	0.09150	0.09150	0.09150	0.13370	0.21090	0.24590	0.24590
56	0.08110	0.08110	0.08110	0.11840	0.18680	0.21780	0.21780
57	0.09960	0.09960	0.09960	0.14550	0.22950	0.26760	0.26760
58	0.08140	0.08140	0.08140	0.11890	0.18740	0.21850	0.21850
59	0.07750	0.07750	0.07750	0.11310	0.17840	0.20800	0.20800
60	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000

For Police employees we used the same retirement rates as those used in the most recent California PERS 3% @55 Police retirement plan valuation. Sample rates are shown below.

		Ar	Table Pol Inual Rates	ice	ent		
			Ye	ars of Servi	ice		
<u>Age</u>	5	10	15	20	25	30	35
50	0.01930	0.01930	0.01930	0.01930	0.03970	0.06000	0.06000
51	0.01570	0.01570	0.01570	0.01570	0.03240	0.04900	0.04900
52	0.01630	0.01630	0.01630	0.01630	0.03370	0.05100	0.05100
53	0.05870	0.05870	0.05870	0.05870	0.12080	0.18290	0.18290
54	0.06910	0.06910	0.06910	0.06910	0.14220	0.21540	0.21540
55	0.11640	0.11640	0.11640	0.11640	0.23970	0.36300	0.36300
56	0.07560	0.07560	0.07560	0.07560	0.15560	0.23570	0.23570
57	0.05810	0.05810	0.05810	0.05810	0.11960	0.18120	0.18120
58	0.05080	0.05080	0.05080	0.05080	0.10450	0.15830	0.15830
59	0.06250	0.06250	0.06250	0.06250	0.12870	0.19490	0.19490
60	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000

For all other City employees we used the retirement rates that were be used in the most recent California PERS 2.5% @55 Miscellaneous employees retirement plan valuation. Selected rates are shown below.

A	Table 4-8 nual Rates of Reti	rement
Age	Male	Female
50	0.05	0.07
55	0.08	0.09
60	0.16	0.12
61	0.15	0.10
62	0.26	0.21
63	0.22	0.18
64	0.15	0.13
65	0.25	0.25
67	0.12	0.14
70	1.00	1.00

Mortality

The mortality rates used in this valuation are the rates used in the most recent California PERS retirement plan valuation. Annual mortality rates for selected ages are shown below.

		Table 4-9	1	
		Sample Mortalit	y Rates	
	Active E	mployees	Retired E	mployees
<u>Age</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
55	0.248%	0.178%	0.429%	0.253%
60	0.344%	0.256%	0.721%	0.442%
65	0.480%	0.369%	1.302%	0.795%
70	0.671%	0.537%	2.135%	1.276%
7 5			3.716%	2.156%
30			6.256%	3.883%
35			10.195%	7.219%
90			17.379%	12.592%

Disability Retirement

Sample disability rates for Fire and Police employees are shown in Table 4-9. These rates match those used in the most recent California PERS pension valuations.

Because of the low incidence of disability retirements for non-Safety employees we did not value disability retirement for non-Safety employees.

	Table 4-9				
Annual Rates of Disability					
Age	Fire	Police			
25	0.21%	0.56%			
30	0.21%	0.56%			
35	0.41%	1.12%			
40	0.41%	1.12%			
45	0.62%	1.67%			
50	0.62%	1.67%			
55	6.00%	5.81%			

Health Plan Participation

We assumed that 100% of future eligible retirees will enroll in one of the offered medical plans and that 70% of future retirees will enroll a spouse.

Medicare Coverage

We assumed that all retirees will be eligible for Medicare when they reach age 65.

SECTION V

Glossary

- <u>Accrual Accounting</u> A method of matching the cost of an employee's service, including long term obligations such as OPEB, to that employee's period of active service.
- <u>Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)</u> The Actuarial Present Value of all postemployment benefits attributable to past service. Note: the AAL is sometimes referred to as the Past Service Liability.
- Actuarial Cost Method A procedure for allocating the actuarial present value of benefits and expenses and for developing an actuarially equivalent allocation of such value to time periods, usually in the form of a Normal Cost and an Actuarial Accrued Liability.
- <u>Actuarial Present Value</u> The value of an amount or series of amounts payable or receivable at various times. Each such amount or series of amounts is:
 - a. adjusted for the probable financial effect of certain intervening events (such as changes in healthcare costs, compensation levels, Medicare, marital status, etc.)
 - multiplied by the probability of the occurrence of an event (such as survival, death, disability, termination of employment, etc.) on which the payment is conditioned, and
 - c. discounted according to an assumed rate (or rates) of return to reflect the time value of money
- <u>Actuarial Valuation</u> The determination, as of a valuation date, of the Normal Cost, Actuarial Accrued Liability, Actuarial Value of Assets and related Actuarial Present Values.

Page 21

- Actuarial Value of Assets The value of cash, investments and other property belonging to a plan. These are amounts that may be applied to fund the Actuarial Accrued Liability. Note: assets must be segregated and placed in a Trust in order to be considered OPEB assets
- <u>Amortization Payment</u> That portion of the Annual OPEB cost which is designed to pay interest on and to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability.
 - In the year that Statement 45 becomes effective an employer is allowed to commence amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability, over a period not to exceed 30 years.
- Annual Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB) Cost An accrual-basis measure of the periodic cost of an employer's participation in a defined benefit OPEB plan. The annual OPEB cost is the amount that must be calculated and reported as an expense.

When an employer has no net OPEB obligation (e.g., in the year of implementation) the annual OPEB cost is equal to the Annual Required Contribution (ARC).

In subsequent years the Annual OPEB cost will include:

- the ARC (equal to the Normal Cost plus one year's amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability);
- one year's interest on the net OPEB obligation at the beginning of the year using the valuation discount rate; and
- an adjustment to the ARC. This adjustment is intended to provide a reasonable approximation of that portion of the ARC that consists of interest associated with past contribution deficiencies. GASB Statement No. 45 specifies that this adjustment should be equal to an amortization of the discounted present value of the net OPEB obligation at the beginning of the year. The amortization should be calculated using the same amortization method and period used in determining the ARC for that year. If the net OPEB obligation is positive the adjustment should be deducted from the ARC.
- Note: As long as the net OPEB obligation is zero, there will not be any
 interest charge or adjustment to the ARC. However, if an employer does
 not contribute the full amount of the ARC, a net OPEB obligation will
 emerge.
- Annual required contributions of the employer (ARC) The employer's periodic required contributions to a defined benefit OPEB plan, calculated in accordance with the parameters.

Item #:	: <u>6B</u>
Meeting Date:	4-22-08
Page No.	28

- Defined benefit OPEB plan An OPEB plan having terms that specify the benefits to be provided at or after separation from employment. The benefits may be specified in dollars (for example, a flat dollar payment or an amount based on one or more factors, such as age, years of service, and compensation), or as a type or level of coverage (for example, prescription drugs or a percentage of healthcare insurance premiums).
- Defined contribution plan A pension or OPEB plan having terms that (a) provide an individual account for each plan member and (b) specify how contributions to an active plan member's account are to be determined, rather than the income or other benefits the member or his beneficiaries are to receive at or after separation from employment. Those benefits will depend only on the amounts contributed to the member's account, earnings on investments of those contributions, and forfeitures of contributions made for other members that may be allocated to the member's account. For example, an employer may contribute a specified amount to each active member's postemployment healthcare account each month. At or after separation from employment, the balance of the account may be used by the member or on the member's behalf for the purchase of health insurance or other healthcare benefits.
- Employer's contributions Contributions made in relation to the annual required contributions of the employer (ARC). An employer has made a contribution in relation to the ARC if the employer has (a) made payments of benefits directly to or on behalf of a retiree or beneficiary, (b) made premium payments to an insurer, or (c) irrevocably transferred assets to a trust, or an equivalent arrangement, in which plan assets are dedicated to providing benefits to retirees and their beneficiaries in accordance with the terms of the plan and are legally protected from creditors of the employer(s) or plan administrator.
- Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method An actuarial cost method under which
 the Actuarial Present Value of the Projected Benefits of each individual included in
 the valuation is allocated on a level basis over the earnings or service of the
 individual between entry age and assumed exit age(s). The portion of this Actuarial
 Present Value allocated to a valuation year is called the Normal Cost.
- Healthcare cost trend rate The rate of change in per capita health claims costs over time as a result of factors such as medical inflation, utilization of healthcare services, plan design, and technological developments.
- <u>Investment return assumption (discount rate)</u> The rate used to adjust a series of future payments to reflect the time value of money.
- Net OPEB obligation The cumulative difference since the effective date of GASB Statement 45 between annual OPEB cost and the employer's contributions to the plan, including the OPEB liability (asset) at transition, if any, and excluding (a)

short-term differences and (b) unpaid contributions that have been converted to OPEB-related debt.

Most employers will have no net OPEB obligation at the beginning of the year in which Statement 45 is implemented.

If an employer contributes the annual OPEB cost to the plan each year, and there are no actuarial or investment gains or losses then the net OPEB Obligation will remain zero.

- <u>Normal Cost</u> That portion of the Actuarial Present Value of benefits and expenses which is allocated to a valuation year by the Actuarial Cost Method. Another interpretation is that the Normal Cost is the present value of future benefits that are "earned" by employees for service rendered during the current year.
- OPEB assets The amount recognized by an employer for contributions to an OPEB plan greater than OPEB expenses.
- OPEB expense The amount recognized by an employer in each accounting period for contributions to an OPEB plan on the accrual basis of accounting.
- Other postemployment benefits (OPEB) Postemployment benefits other than
 pension benefits. Other postemployment benefits (OPEB) include postemployment
 healthcare benefits, regardless of the type of plan that provides them, and all
 postemployment benefits provided separately from a pension plan, except benefits
 defined as special termination benefits.
- Plan assets Resources, usually in the form of stocks, bonds, and other classes of investments, that have been segregated and restricted in a trust, or in an equivalent arrangement, in which (a) employer contributions to the plan are irrevocable, (b) assets are dedicated to providing benefits to retirees and their beneficiaries, and (c) assets are legally protected from creditors of the employer(s) or plan administrator, for the payment of benefits in accordance with the terms of the plan.
- Present Value See Actuarial Present Value.
- <u>Projected Unit Credit Cost Method</u> An actuarial cost method under which the projected benefits of each individual included in an Actuarial Valuation are separately calculated and allocated to each year service by a consistent formula.
- <u>Substantive plan</u> The terms of an OPEB plan as understood by the employer(s) and plan members.
- Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) The excess of the Actuarial Accrued Liability over the Actuarial Value of Assets.

		Item #:	6 <u>B</u>
Valuation Date: January 1, 2008	Page 24	Meeting Date: _	4-22-08
•	· ·	Page No.	30

0	<u>Valuation date</u> –	The date	as of	which	the	postemployment	benefit	obligation	is
	determined.								
Va	luation Date: January 1	2008	ower and the second of the se	Page 2	5		Mee	ting Date:	6B 4-22-0

Meeting Date: _ Page No. __ Page 25

		Item #:	6B
Valuation Date: January 1, 2008	Page 26	Meeting Date:	4-22-08
		Page No	_32

City of Sausalito Retiree Healthcare GASB 45 Valuation

Some Different Funding Approaches

Illustrated below are five different approaches to funding, for all present retirees and employees, the estimated total present value of all future benefits (\$9.5 million) for all current and retired employees and spouses. GASB 45 does not require any particular funding approach, so many other approaches would of course also be possible.

Estimated Annual	Funding	in	\$Thousands
------------------	---------	----	-------------

Estimated Annual Funding in \$Thousands							
Fiscal Year Beginning July 1:	Current "Pay as You Go"	Level Dollar 20 Years	Level Dollar 30 Years	Level Percent of Payroll 20 Years	Level Percent of Payroll 30 Years		
outy 11	100 00			20 1000	- OU TEATS		
2008	135	760	616	581	419		
2009	147	760	616	600	432		
2010	160	760	616	619	447		
2011	176	760	616	640	461		
2012	193	760	616	660	476		
2013	209	760	616	682	491		
2014	228	760	616	704	507		
2015	249	760	616	727	524		
2016	270	760	616	750	541		
2017	291	760	616	775	559		
2018	312	760	616	800	577		
2019	314	760	616	826	595		
2020	321	760	616	853	615		
2021	349	760	616	881	635		
2022	373	760	616	909	655		
2023	401	760	616	939	677		
2024	450	760	616	969	699		
2025	513	760	616	1,001	721		
2026	575	760	616	1,033	745		
2027	644	760	616	1,067	769		
2028	718		616		794		
2029	782		616		820		
2030	838		616		847		
2031	898		616		874		
2032	959		616		902		
2033	993		616		932		
2034	1,006		616		962		
2035	1,013		616		993		
2036	1,009		616		1,026		
2037	968		616		1,059		
2038	937						
2039	945						
2040	936						
2041	925						
2042	934						
2043	919						
2044	889						
2045	878						
2046	859						
2047	840						
2048	833						
2049	816						
2050	798						
2051	785						
2052	770 753						
2053 2054	753 732						
2054 2055	732 709						
2056	682						
2056	652						
	032						
2058-2082 Avg.	260				81		

 Item #:
 6B

 Meeting Date:
 4-22-08

 Page No.
 33