# CITY OF SAUSALITO

420 Litho Street, Sausalito, CA 94965

## Addendum No. 1

# Issued September 30, 2024

# For 2024 Roadway Resurfacing and Improvements Project Page 1 of 3

## NOTICE TO ALL PLAN HOLDERS SUBMITTING BIDS FOR THIS WORK:

You are hereby notified of the following information, changes, clarifications or modifications to the original Contract Documents, Project Manual, Drawings, Specifications and subsequent Addenda. This Addendum shall supersede the original Contract Documents and previous Addenda wherein it contradicts the same and shall take precedence over anything to the contrary therein. All other conditions remain, UNCHANGED.

This Addendum is hereby made a part of the Contract Documents to the same extent as though it were originally included therein.

#### **RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS**

- 1) What is the construction budget for the project?
  - The construction budget is \$1,730,000 which includes a 10% contingency.
- 2) Does the City anticipate any striping changes to the existing striping on any of the project streets?
  - This project simply restripes existing features. This includes but is not limited to restriping center lines, STOP cross bars, bike lanes, edge line markings, etc. should be anticipated.
- 3) Are there any other overlapping pavement, ADA, or pedestrian improvement related projects currently being designed that will need to be coordinated with this project?
  - Yes, Bridgeway between Napa St and Johnson St is being designed for safety improvements which generally consists of removing double crosswalks along the corridor. This is a separate project but the construction may be occurring at the same time.
- 4) The project street list included Bridgeway (SB) from Nevada to Johnson. Please confirm that the project will only include the Southbound lane in the scope of work.

The following table located on Page 3 of the Request for Proposal shall be amended with the following Table:

#### Microseal (Addendum #1)

Street	From	То
Anchor	Bridgeway	Humboldt

Addendum No. 1

Bridgeway SB	Nevada	Johnson-Easterby
Bridgeway NB	Easterby	Nevada
Bridgeway	Anchor	Princess
Crecienta	Monte Mar	Currey
El Portal	Bridgeway	Tracy
Kendall Ct	Arana Circle	Cul-de-Sac
Napa St	Bridgeway	Caledonia
Second St	Richardson	South
Spring St	Woodward	Bridgeway
Woodward	Spring	Easterby

5) The project street list includes "Light Rehabilitation" and "Microseal". Does the City anticipate one package for each treatment type or two separate bid packages to be prepared?

The City anticipates accepting one bid package which includes both Microseal and Resurfacing (Light Rehabilitation as 2" Grind and Resurfacing).

This is a placeholder treatment. Should your analysis provided something different, the City will be open to other selected treatments.

6) Is Microseal the same as Microsurfacing?

Yes, microseal is synonymous with microsurfacing.

7) Does the City have a Citywide ADA assessment report that includes the existing curb ramps and their compliancy?

No, the City does not have such an assessment. Improvements to contiguous curb ramps are to be brought up to current ADA standards.

8) The RFP states that a detailed survey is to be performed for roadways that require curb ramp installations. Is the intent of the survey to focus on the entire road or just the curb ramp locations that need to be updated. Is the City looking for a full detailed design for each curb ramp designed?

Detailed survey is required for only those area of curbs ramps to ensure new curb ramps are installed per ADA requirements. Yes, the City is looking for full detailed design of each curb ramp to allow the contractor to bid and install each curb rampo (if required).

9) Will the survey need to be tied to local datum or can TBMs be used.

Local datum is not needed. As noted in #8, the survey is only to ensure curb ramps and grades and drainage slopes are met.

10) The RFP is limited to 12 pages. Will the City consider more pages so more detail can be provided in the approach and methodology. Maybe 20 pages?

Yes, 20 pages for the RFP is acceptable.

## 2024 Roadway Resurfacing and Improvements Project

### Addendum No. 1

11) The Fee Proposal is to be submitted separately. There is also a request to submit an electronic copy. Can you clarify if it is a separate file in the same flash drive as the main proposal or a separate flash drive.

Mailing a hard copy of the proposal and fee proposal is acceptable. To be consistent a separate flash drive for the proposal and a separate drive for the fee proposal should be submitted.

12) Section 6 Subsection 2b states the 30% PS&E to be "in format acceptable to the City". Can you clarify what is considered acceptable format?

An acceptable format would be a PDF that can be reviewed by staff.

Attachments to Addendum 1:

none

**END OF ADDENDUM NO. 1** 

Issued By: City of Sausalito

Ali Iqbal, PE Project Manager

**ACKNOWLEDGED** 

**Bidder's Signature** 

A signed copy of this addendum is to be submitted as a part of the bid package for the subject project. Failure to do so may subject the Bidder to Disqualification.